



Australian Government

BUILDING OUR FUTURE



mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

IN PARTNERSHIP WITH JACOBS | ARUP

**Great Northern Highway
Muchea to Wubin**

Bindoon Bypass Preliminary Documentation

EPBC 2017/8035 | JANUARY 2021



Document Control

Document description	
Project:	Great Northern Highway - Muchea to Wubin Upgrade - Stage 2
Document Title:	Bindoon Bypass EPBC 2017/8035 Preliminary Documentation
Document No.:	GNH-CN12-EN01-RPT-0005
Contract Number:	CN12-EN01
Client Contract Number:	10/13

Current Issue

Revision	Date
10	22 January 2021

Prepared by	Reviewed by	Reviewed by	Approved by
Document Owner	Contract Lead	Internal Technical Reviewer	Project Director
Lisa Boulden	Lisa Boulden	Max Goodwin & Arne De Vos	Nigel Scott

Issue summary

Revision	Date	Issue description	Distribution
1	August-2018	Draft	Cross Discipline Review / Technical Review / PAG Review
2	24-08-2018	Draft	Final Internal Review
3	31-08-2018	Draft	Issued to EPA and DAWE for Review
4	08-07-2019	Revised Draft (incorporates findings from 2018 surveys)	PAG review
5	01-10-2019	Revised Draft	Issued to EPA and DAWE
6	13-03-2020	Revised Draft	Issued to DAWE
7	17-05-2020	Draft for Publication	For Publication (printing and electronic/web)
8	09-12-2020	Draft	PAG Review
9	11-12-2020	Draft	Issued to DAWE
10	22-01-2021	Final	Final for Publication

Contents

Glossary	viii
Scoping Checklist.....	xii
Executive Summary.....	i
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Purpose and Scope of the ERD.....	4
1.2 Proponent.....	5
1.3 Environmental Impact Assessment Process.....	5
1.4 Other Approvals and Regulation.....	7
2. The Proposal.....	8
2.1 Background.....	8
2.2 Changes to the Proposal Since ESD Approval.....	8
2.3 Justification.....	11
2.4 Alternatives Considered.....	12
2.5 Proposal Description.....	19
2.6 Local and Regional Context.....	40
3. Stakeholder Engagement.....	55
3.1 Key Stakeholders.....	55
3.2 Stakeholder Engagement Process.....	55
3.3 Stakeholder Consultation.....	56
4. Environmental Principles and Factors.....	65
4.1 Principles.....	65
4.2 Key Environmental Factor – Flora and Vegetation.....	67
4.3 Key Environmental Factor – Terrestrial Fauna.....	152
4.4 Key Environmental Factor – Inland Waters.....	261
4.5 Key Environmental Factor – Social Surroundings (Heritage).....	286
4.6 Key Environmental Factor – Social Surroundings (Amenity).....	318
5. Other Environmental Factors or Matters.....	387
6. Matters of National Environmental Significance.....	389
6.1 Main Roads Environmental Policy and Planning Framework.....	394
6.2 Economic and Social Matters.....	395
6.3 Existing Environment.....	398
6.4 Impacts of the Action.....	408
6.5 Avoidance and Mitigation Measures.....	418
6.6 Offsets.....	424
6.7 Conclusion.....	424
6.8 Information Sources.....	427
7. Offsets.....	430
7.1 Land Acquisition Offsets.....	436
7.2 Hollows Previously used by Black Cockatoos.....	437
7.3 Indirect Offsets.....	438
7.4 Offset Guide Inputs and Justification.....	438
7.5 Consistency with WA Government and EPBC Act Offset Policies.....	441
7.6 Consideration of Recovery Plans and Conservation Advice.....	443

8. Holistic Impact Assessment	448
9. References	453

Tables

Table 1-1: Assessment Timeline	6
Table 1-2: Other Approvals.....	7
Table 2-1: Summary of the Proposal.....	39
Table 2-2: Location and Proposed Extent of Physical and Operational Elements	39
Table 2-3: Summary of Soil-landscape Systems within the Study Area (DPIRD 2018).....	44
Table 2-4: Conservation Significant Flora Species Known to Occur in the Bindoon Region (FVC 2018a).....	49
Table 2-5: Species Listed Under the BC Act and EPBC Act	52
Table 3-1 Summary of key stakeholder consultation undertaken for the Proposal during preparation of the ERD	57
Table 4-1: Principles of Environmental Protection.....	65
Table 4-2: Winter Rainfall Observations from Bindoon and Wannamal and Gingin Aero Long-term Average	69
Table 4-3 : Conservation Significant Flora Species Recorded by FVC (2018a).....	71
Table 4-4: Vegetation Associations of the Development Envelope.....	82
Table 4-5: Vegetation Quality of the Development Envelope.....	100
Table 4-6: Condition of Vegetation within the Development Envelope	100
Table 4-7: Regional Vegetation Complexes (Source: FVC 2018a)	112
Table 4-8: EPBC Act listed Banksia Woodlands TEC within the Development Envelope	114
Table 4-9: Vegetation Associations Representing Wetland or Riparian Vegetation.....	123
Table 4-10: Riparian and Wetland Vegetation Associations Mapped as Paddock or Pasture.....	123
Table 4-11: Geomorphic Wetlands within or Adjacent to the Development Envelope	124
Table 4-12: Condition of Riparian and Wetland Vegetation within the Development Envelope	124
Table 4-13: Impacts to Conservation Significant Flora	136
Table 4-14: TECs and PECs within the Development Footprint.....	137
Table 4-15: Clearing Required by Vegetation Association	138
Table 4-16: Condition of Vegetation to be Cleared.....	138
Table 4-17: Impacts to Vegetation Complexes in the Development Footprint.....	139
Table 4-18: Riparian and Wetland Vegetation Associations within the Development Footprint	140
Table 4-19: Riparian and Wetland Vegetation Associations Mapped as Paddock or Pasture within the Development Footprint.....	140
Table 4-20: Condition of Geomorphic Wetland Areas within the Development Footprint.....	141
Table 4-21: Regional Impacts on Priority Flora	144
Table 4-22: Predicted Outcomes of the Proposal on Flora and Vegetation	150
Table 4-23: Vegetation Substrate Associations (Fauna Habitats—BCE 2017, 2018)	154
Table 4-24: Black Cockatoo Foraging Habitat Value of the Study Area	172
Table 4-25: Relationship between Foraging Habitat Value of BCE (2018) and DoEE (2017)	173
Table 4-26: Black Cockatoo Roost Sites.....	173
Table 4-27: Clearing Required by Fauna Habitat	230
Table 4-28: Clearing of Habitats Supporting Conservation Significant Species.....	230
Table 4-29: Clearing of Carnaby’s Black Cockatoo Foraging Habitat	231
Table 4-30: Clearing of Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo Foraging Habitat.....	231
Table 4-31: Impacts to Black Cockatoo Hollows	231
Table 4-32: Review of Impacted Trees with Hollows Used by Black Cockatoos.....	235
Table 4-33: Cumulative Impacts to Black Cockatoos.....	256
Table 4-34: Extract of Typical TDS of Groundwater Aquifers within the Development Envelope (DoW 2015)	262
Table 4-35: Extract of Groundwater Quality Data for the Chittering Borefield (DoW 2007)	266
Table 4-36: Allocation Limits for the Gingin Plan Area (Department of Water, 2015)	267

Table 4-37: Excerpt of Percentage of Recharge Retained in Aquifers within the Gingin Plan Area (DoW 2015).....	267
Table 4-38: Geomorphic Wetlands within or Adjacent to the Development Envelope	269
Table 4-39: Allocation Limits for the Brockman River and Lennard Brook.....	270
Table 4-40: Direct Impacts to Geomorphic Wetlands.....	278
Table 4-41: Extent of Proposal Impacts on Consanguineous Suite	279
Table 4-42: Summary of Potential Impacts, Mitigation Measures and Residual Impacts to Inland Waters	284
Table 4-43: Summary of Aboriginal Heritage Sites and Other Heritage Places within the Study Area... ..	291
Table 4-44: Summary of State Heritage Office Inherit Database-listed Heritage Sites	301
Table 4-45: Summary of Heritage Places Recorded During the Bindoon Bypass Non-Indigenous Heritage Field Assessments	302
Table 4-46: Direct Impacts to Aboriginal Heritage Sites	304
Table 4-47: Impacts to Non-Indigenous Heritage Places	305
Table 4-48: Ambient Noise Levels along the Development Envelope	319
Table 4-49: Ambient Noise Level along the Existing GNH near Bindoon.....	319
Table 4-50: Landscape Character Descriptions (Source: Arup 2018a).....	323
Table 4-51: Potential Impacts During Construction and Operations.....	326
Table 4-52: Predicted Noise Levels at Noise Sensitive Receivers Exceeding State Planning Policy 5.4 Targets	327
Table 4-53: Noise Sensitive Receivers Exceeding State Planning Policy 5.4 Noise Limits.....	357
Table 4-54: Potential Visual Impacts by Viewpoint	357
Table 4-55: Light Spill Impact Assessment	382
Table 4-56: Proposed noise mitigation measures	384
Table 4-57: Proposed visual amenity mitigation measures by viewpoint.	385
Table 6-1: Additional Information Requirements from DAWE	389
Table 6-2: Economic Value of Benefits Resulting from the Bindoon Bypass	396
Table 6-3: Relative Impact of the Difference in Vehicle Numbers from the Bindoon Bypass.....	397
Table 6-4: Banksia Woodland Vegetation Associations and Inferred FCT (FVC 2019).....	402
Table 6-5: Banksia Woodlands TEC by Vegetation Association and Condition within the Development Envelope	403
Table 6-6: Species Listed under the EPBC Act Expected to Occur	406
Table 6-7: Relationship between Foraging Habitat Value of BCE (2018) and DoEE (2017)	407
Table 6-8: Black Cockatoo Foraging Habitat Value within the Study Area	408
Table 6-9: Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo Roost Sites within the Study Area.....	408
Table 6-10: Clearing Required by Vegetation Associations Representative of Banksia Woodland TEC in Good or Better Condition	411
Table 6-11: Condition of the Banksia Woodland TEC to be Cleared	411
Table 6-12: Clearing by Banksia Woodlands TEC Patch.....	411
Table 6-13: Clearing of Carnaby’s Black Cockatoo Foraging Habitat	414
Table 6-14: Clearing of Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo Foraging Habitat.....	414
Table 6-15: Impacts to Black Cockatoo Hollows	414
Table 6-16: Review of Impacted Trees with Hollows Used by Black Cockatoos.....	415
Table 6-17: Condition of Potential Chuditch Habitat to Cleared	416
Table 6-18: Management Measures for Matters of National Environmental Significance	419
Table 6-19: Information Sources	428
Table 7-1: Assessment of Impacts against the Residual Impact Significance Model.....	431
Table 7-2: Summary of Residual Impact and Offset Requirements.....	432
Table 7-3: Land Acquisition Activities	437
Table 7-4: Offsets Guide Inputs and Justifications	439
Table 7-5: Consistency with WA Government Environmental Offsets Policy.....	441
Table 7-6: Consistency with EPBC Environmental Offsets Policy	442
Table 7-7: Main Roads Consideration of the Carnaby’s Black Cockatoo Recovery Plan Actions	444
Table 7-8: Main Roads Consideration of the Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo Recovery Plan Actions.....	444
Table 7-9: Main Roads Consideration of the EPBC Act listed Banksia Woodlands TEC Conservation Advice	446
Table 8-1: Environmental Factors, Objectives and Outcomes.....	450

Figures

Figure 1-1: Proposal Location.....	3
Figure 2-1: Changes to the Proposal Since Referral.....	10
Figure 2-2: Endorsed Perth-Darwin National Highway Corridor.....	13
Figure 2-3: Five Corridors Considered in October 2015.....	15
Figure 2-4: Sixteen Corridors Considered in November 2015.....	16
Figure 2-5: Western Bypass Corridor A, Western Bypass Corridor B and Eastern Bypass Corridor C ...	18
Figure 2-6: Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases	20
Figure 2-7: Local Government Areas and Nearby Towns.....	41
Figure 2-8: Other Developments in Relation to the Proposal.....	42
Figure 2-9: Average Monthly Temperatures and Rainfall – Gingin Aero (BoM 2018).....	43
Figure 2-10: Regional Soil-Landscape Systems.....	45
Figure 2-11: Surface Water Features.....	47
Figure 2-12: Groundwater Aquifers.....	48
Figure 2-13: Regional Conservation Significant Vegetation and Flora.....	51
Figure 4-1: Comparison of 2016 and 2018 Winter Rainfall at Bindoon Against the Long-term Average..	70
Figure 4-2: Comparison of 2016 to 2018 Winter Rainfall at Wannamal Against the Long-term Average..	70
Figure 4-3: Locations of Conservation Significant Flora.....	74
Figure 4-4: Mapped Vegetation Associations.....	85
Figure 4-5: Vegetation Condition.....	102
Figure 4-6: Regional Vegetation Complexes.....	107
Figure 4-7: DBCA Recorded Occurrences of EPBC-listed TECs, TECs Endorsed by the WA Minister for Environment and DBCA-listed PECs.....	115
Figure 4-8: EPBC Act listed Banksia Woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain TEC (Source: FVC 2019) ..	119
Figure 4-9: Skeleton Weed Locations within the Development Envelope.....	125
Figure 4-10: Phytophthora Dieback Mapping (Source: Terratree 2018).....	127
Figure 4-11: Extent of Contiguous Areas of the EPBC Act listed Banksia Woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain TEC.....	146
Figure 4-12: Fauna Habitats (BCE 2017, 2018).....	157
Figure 4-13: Black Cockatoo Habitat – Trees with Suitable or Used Hollows.....	174
Figure 4-14: Carnaby’s Black Cockatoo Habitat Foraging Value.....	189
Figure 4-15: Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo Roost Sites and Habitat Foraging Value.....	204
Figure 4-16: Regional and Local Ecological Linkages.....	220
Figure 4-17: Known and Recorded Locations of Conservation Significant Fauna Species.....	222
Figure 4-18: Trees with Hollows Used by Black Cockatoos within the Development Envelope.....	236
Figure 4-19: Locations for Inclusion of Fauna Underpasses in Detailed Design.....	259
Figure 4-20: Acid Sulfate Soil Risk Areas in the Development Envelope.....	263
Figure 4-21: Conceptual Hydrogeological Model.....	265
Figure 4-22: Water Information Site Bore Locations and Groundwater Levels.....	268
Figure 4-23: Location of Proposed Brockman River Bridge and Associated Wetland.....	273
Figure 4-24: Photograph of Approximate Location of Wetland Crossing (13 February 2018).....	279
Figure 4-25: Aboriginal Heritage Sites Recorded from the DPLH Aboriginal Sites and Places Register	288
Figure 4-26: Municipal Inventory Heritage Sites.....	295
Figure 4-27: Heritage Sites Identified During Site Investigations.....	300
Figure 4-28: Aboriginal Heritage Sites within the Development Footprint.....	307
Figure 4-29: Non-Indigenous Heritage Sites within the Development Footprint.....	311
Figure 4-30: Ambient Noise Monitoring Locations – Development Envelope.....	320
Figure 4-31: Landscape Character Units of the Proposal.....	324
Figure 4-32: Predicted Noise Contours.....	328
Figure 4-33: Viewshed Analysis and Selected Viewpoints.....	359
Figure 4-34: Light Sensitive Residences.....	367
Figure 5-1: Agreement to Reserve and Conservation Covenant on Lot 2138 Hay Flat Road Wannamal	388
Figure 6-1: EPBC Act-listed Flora Species that May Occur in the Region.....	404

Figure 6-2: Extent of Contiguous Areas of the Banksia Woodlands TEC	405
Figure 6-3: Potential Habitat for GinginWax	410
Figure 6-4: Suitable Habitat for Chuditch	417
Figure 7-1: Example Cockatube® (photo credit: Landcare SJ Inc.).....	438
Figure 8-1: Application of the EPA's Significance Framework to the Proposal.....	452

Appendices

Appendix A. Environmental Scoping Document Endorsed by the Environmental Protection Authority

Appendix B. Request for Additional Information—Preliminary Documentation

Appendix C. EP Act Section 43A Request to Change Proposal and EPBC Act Section 156 Request to Vary Proposed Action

Appendix D. Flora and Vegetation Assessment Reports

Appendix E. Fauna Assessment Reports

Appendix F. Surface Water Assessment Report

Appendix G. Groundwater Assessment Report

Appendix H. Heritage Assessment Reports

Appendix I. Noise Assessment Report

Appendix J. Landscape Character and Visual Amenity Assessment Report

Appendix K. Technical Note: Lighting Concept Design

Appendix L. Construction Environmental Management Plan

Appendix M. Artificial Hollow Management Plan

Appendix N. EPBC Offset Guide Worksheets

Glossary

Abbreviation	Description
AASS	actual acid sulfate soil
ADWG	Australian Drinking Water Guidelines
AH Act	<i>Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972 (WA)</i>
AHIS	Aboriginal Heritage Inquiry System
ALCAM	Australian Level Crossing Assessment Model
ANZECC	Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council
ARI	average recurrence interval
ARMCANZ	Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand
AS	Australian Standards
ASJV	Arup Jacobs Joint Venture
ASRIS	Australian Soil Resource Information System
ASS	acid sulfate soil
BAM Act	<i>Biosecurity and Agriculture Management Act 2007 (WA)</i>
Banksia Woodlands of the Gingin Area PEC	Banksia woodlands of the Gingin area restricted to soils dominated by yellow to orange sands PEC (P3)
Banksia Woodlands TEC	EPBC Act listed Banksia woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain TEC
BC Act	<i>Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (WA)</i>
BCE	Bamford Consulting Ecologists Pty Ltd
BCR	benefit-cost ratio
BGA	Brad Goode & Associates Pty Ltd
BoM	Bureau of Meteorology
CC	Conservation Category (wetland)
CEMP	Construction Environmental Management Plan
concept design phase	The engineering design work completed up to the submission of this ERD.
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
DAA	Department of Aboriginal Affairs (WA)—now DPLH
DAFWA	Department of Agriculture and Food (WA)—now DPIRD
DAWE	Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (Cwlth)
DBCA	Department of Biodiversity Conservation and Attractions (WA)
DBH	diameter at breast height
DEC	Department of Environment and Conservation (WA)—now split between DWER (regulation) and DBCA (conservation)
detailed design phase	Additional engineering design to be completed at a future date.
Development Envelope	The boundary within which the elements of the Proposal are located. This is represented by closed GIS polygons.

Abbreviation	Description
Development Footprint	The area to be cleared of vegetation.
DMA	Decision Making Authority
DoEE	Department of the Environment and Energy (Cwlth)—now DAWE
DoW	Department of Water (WA)—now DWER
DPaW	Department of Parks and Wildlife (WA)—now DBCA
DPIRD	Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (WA)
DPLH	Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (WA)
DRF	Declared Rare Flora under the BC Act
DSEWPaC	Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (Cwlth)—now DAWE
DWER	Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (WA)
EBICG	Ellen Brockman Integrated Catchment Group
EC	electrical conductivity
EIA	environmental impact assessment
EMS	environmental management system
EPA	Environmental Protection Authority of Western Australia
EP Act	<i>Environmental Protection Act 1986 (WA)</i>
EPBC Act	<i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cwlth)</i>
ESA	environmentally sensitive area
FCT	floristic community type
FTE	full-time employee
FVC	Focused Vision Consulting Pty Ltd
GDA94	Geocentric Datum of Australia 1994
GDE	groundwater-dependent ecosystem
GHPD	government heritage property disposal
GIS	geographic information system
GNH	Great Northern Highway
GPS	global positioning system
ha	hectare
HISF	heritage information submission form
IBRA	Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia
IPT	Integrated Project Team (Main Roads and the ASJV)
IS	Infrastructure Sustainability
ISCA	Infrastructure Sustainability Council of Australia
km	kilometre
LGA	local government authority
m	metre

Abbreviation	Description
M2W	Muchea to Wubin
M2W team	Muchea to Wubin Integrated Project Team, comprising Main Roads and industry partners Jacobs and Arup
Main Roads	Main Roads Western Australia
MCA	multi-criteria analysis
MGA94	Map Grid of Australia 1994
MI	municipal inventory
mm	millimetre
MNES	matters of national environmental significance
MoU	memorandum of understanding
MU	multiple use (wetland)
NATA	National Association of Testing Authorities
NNTT	National Native Title Tribunal
Noise Regulations	<i>Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997 (WA)</i>
NTC	Native Title Claim
NVCP	native vegetation clearing permit
NVIS	national vegetation information system
OSOM	over size over mass
PASS	potential acid sulfate soil
PDNH	Perth-Darwin National Highway
PEC	Priority Ecological Community
RAV	restricted access vehicle
RE	resource enhancement (wetland)
RIWI	<i>Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914 (WA)</i>
SLIP	State Land Information Portal
SLK	straight line kilometre
study area	The area comprising the studies completed to support this ERD.
SWALSC	South West Aboriginal Land and Sea Council
TDS	total dissolved solids
TEC	Threatened Ecological Community
TN	total nitrogen
TP	total phosphorous
UFI	unique feature identifier (wetlands)
VSA	vegetation and substrate association
WA	Western Australia
WALGA	Western Australian Local Government Association
WAOL	Western Australian Organism List

Abbreviation	Description
WAPC	Western Australian Planning Commission
WoNS	weeds of national significance

Scoping Checklist

Task No.	Required Work	Chapter and Page No.
Flora and Vegetation		
1	Identify and characterise the flora and vegetation of areas that may be directly or indirectly impacted by the Proposal in accordance with the relevant guidance. Demonstrate how surveys are relevant, representative and demonstrate consistency with current EPA policy and guidance. Include a summary of survey findings in accordance with relevant guidelines.	Chapter 4.2.3.2 , p. 71 Chapter 4.2.3.3 , p. 82
2	Identify wetlands and waterways that may be directly or indirectly impacted utilising the relevant database(s).	Chapter 4.2.3.5 , p. 123 Chapter 4.4.3.3 , p. 269
3	Undertake targeted survey/s for the Commonwealth listed Endangered/Threatened species <i>Drakaea elastica</i> in accordance with the relevant Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) survey guidelines. Detail how these guidelines have been followed.	Chapter 4.2.3.2 , p. 71
4	Identify and describe any flora species and ecological communities recorded during 1 and 3 above that are currently listed under the <i>Wildlife Conservation Act 1950</i> and the Commonwealth <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> .	Chapter 4.2.3.2 , p. 71 Chapter 4.2.3.3 , p. 82 Chapter 4.2.3.4 , p. 113
5	Determine whether any vegetation identified in 1 above is consistent with the classification of the Banksia Woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain Threatened Ecological Community (the TEC). If any vegetation is classified as the TEC, present survey information consistent with the relevant guidelines.	Chapter 4.2.3.4 , p. 113
6	Identify and describe the vegetation, wetlands, waterways and significant flora species present and likely to be present within the Development Envelope and any areas that may be indirectly impacted by the Proposal beyond the Development Envelope in 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 above. Include an analysis of the significance of flora, vegetation, wetlands and waterways in local, regional and State contexts as appropriate in accordance with the relevant guidance.	Chapter 4.2.3.5 , p. 123
7	Provide a map depicting the recorded locations of the significant flora, wetlands, ecological communities and significant vegetation in 6 above in relation to the Development Envelope in accordance with the relevant guidelines.	Figure 4-3 , p. 74 Figure 4-4 , p. 85 Figure 4-8 , p. 119 Figure 4-23 , p. 273
8	Once the Development Envelope has been finalised, assess the potential direct and indirect impacts of the construction and operational elements of the Proposal on identified environmental values in 6 above. Include a quantitative assessment of levels of impact on significant flora, wetlands, waterways, listed ecological communities and all vegetation associations. Describe and assess the extent of any cumulative impacts within local, regional and State contexts as appropriate.	Chapter 4.2.4 , p. 135 Chapter 4.2.5 , p. 141 Chapter 4.4.4 , p. 272 Chapter 4.4.5 , p. 274
9	Describe and justify any proposed mitigation to reduce the potential impacts of construction and operation of the Proposal. Include any proposed management and/or monitoring plans that will be implemented pre- and post-construction to demonstrate and ensure that the EPA's objective can be met.	Chapter 4.2.6 , p. 148

Task No.	Required Work	Chapter and Page No.
10	Identify, describe and quantify the potential residual impacts (direct, indirect and cumulative) that may occur following implementation of the proposed mitigation measures and determine the significance of the residual impacts on the identified environmental values by applying the Residual Impact Significance Model (page 11) and WA Offset template (Appendix 1) in the WA Environmental Offsets Guidelines (2014). Provide spatial data defining the area of any identified significant residual impacts and proposed offsets in relation to the Development Envelope. Where significant residual impacts remain, propose an appropriate offsets package that is consistent with the WA Environmental Offsets Policy and Guidelines.	Chapter 4.2.7 , p. 150 Chapter 7 , p. 432
Terrestrial Fauna		
11	Undertake terrestrial fauna and fauna habitat surveys of the Proposal area in accordance with relevant EPA and Department of Environment and Energy guidelines, including appropriate targeted surveys for significant fauna on land and in aquatic systems. The degree to which these guidelines have been followed should be provided. Adequate reasons for departing from guidelines should be provided where and if relevant.	Chapter 4.3.2 , p. 152 Chapter 4.3.3 , p. 153
12	Identify and describe the values and significance of fauna, fauna habitat and habitat connectivity within, and immediately adjacent to, the Development Envelope from II above within local and regional contexts as appropriate.	Chapter 4.3.3.2 , p. 154 Chapter 4.3.3.3 , p. 219
13	Identify and describe any fauna species recorded during 11 above that are currently listed under the <i>Wildlife Conservation Act 1950</i> and <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> .	Chapter 4.3.3.4 , p. 221 Chapter 4.3.3.5 , p. 221
14	Identify any potential fauna movement corridors within, adjacent to or across the Development Envelope including, but not limited to, areas of intact native vegetation and drainage lines, using appropriate methods. Describe the methods undertaken.	Chapter 4.3.3.3 , p. 219
15	Provide a map depicting the fauna habitats from 12 above in relation to the Development Envelope and document the extent in hectares of each habitat type in the Proposal area, Development Envelope and expected direct and indirect impact footprints.	Figure 4-12 , p. 157 Table 4-23 , p. 154
16	Provide a map depicting the known recorded locations of significant species, significant habitats (such as black cockatoo foraging habitats), significant habitat features (such as black cockatoo breeding hollows) and any potential fauna movement corridors identified in 12 and 14 above in relation to the Development Envelope in accordance with relevant guidelines.	Figure 4-13 , p. 174 Figure 4-14 , p. 189 Figure 4-15 , p. 204 Figure 4-16 , p. 220 Figure 4-17 , p. 222 Figure 4-18 , p. 236
17	Once the Development Envelope has been finalised, assess the potential direct and indirect impacts (including mortality and fragmentation) of the construction and operational elements of the Proposal on fauna assemblages, identified significant fauna, fauna habitats and habitat corridors in 12 and 14 above. Describe and assess the extent of any cumulative impacts within local and regional contexts as appropriate.	Chapter 4.3.4 , p. 229 Chapter 4.3.5 , p. 231
18	Describe and justify any proposed mitigation to reduce the potential impacts of construction and operation of the Proposal. Provide maps of	Chapter 4.3.6 , p. 257

Task No.	Required Work	Chapter and Page No.
	and justification for the location and number of any proposed culverts and/or fauna underpasses/overpasses. Include any proposed management and/or monitoring plans that will be implemented pre- and post-construction to demonstrate and ensure that the EPA's objective can be met.	
19	Identify, describe and quantify the potential residual impacts (direct and indirect) that may occur following implementation of the proposed mitigation measures and determine the significance of the residual impacts on the identified environmental values by applying the Residual Impact Significance Model (page 11) and WA Offset template (Appendix 1) in the WA Environmental Offsets Guidelines (2014). Provide spatial data defining the area of any identified significant residual impacts and proposed offsets in relation to the Development Envelope. Where significant residual impacts remain, propose an appropriate offsets package that is consistent with the WA Environmental Offsets Policy and Guidelines.	Chapter 4.3.7 , p. 260 Chapter 7 , p. 432
Hydrological Processes and Inland Waters Environmental Quality		
20	Identify and describe the values and significance of surface and groundwater hydrological and soil (hydrogeological) characteristics within the refined Development Envelope and the immediately adjacent area upstream and downstream of the Development Envelope in accordance with relevant policy and guidance. Identify and describe wetlands within and in proximity upstream and downstream to the refined Development Envelope utilising the relevant database(s). Describe these values in local, regional and State contexts as appropriate. Identify users of the identified values.	Chapter 4.4.3 , p. 261
21	Describe and assess the potential impacts (direct and indirect) as a result of both construction and operational elements of the Proposal on water quantity (excess and deficit) and quality in relation to the surface and groundwater, waterways and their floodplains and wetlands in 20 above in accordance with the relevant policy and guidance.	Chapter 4.4.4 , p. 272
22	Once the Development Envelope has been refined, predict the extent, severity and duration of potential impacts to 20 above, including changes to local and regional surface and groundwater flows and levels (excess and deficit), groundwater drawdown, local surface and groundwater quality and impacts to surface and groundwater users as a result of construction and operation in accordance with the relevant policy and guidance.	Chapter 4.4.5 , p. 274
23	Describe any proposed mitigation to reduce the potential impacts of construction and operation of the Proposal on 20 above. Provide maps of and justification for the location and number of any proposed culverts and stormwater infrastructure. Include any proposed management and/or monitoring plans and strategies (for example the Drainage Strategy for the Perth-Darwin National Highway (Swan Valley section proposal) that will be implemented pre- and post-construction to demonstrate and ensure the EPA's objectives can be met. Include any hydrological and hydrogeological assessments undertaken for dewatering and groundwater use.	Chapter 4.4.6 , p. 280
24	Identify, describe and quantify the potential residual impacts (direct and indirect) that may occur following implementation of the proposed mitigation measures and determine the significance of the residual impacts on the identified environmental values by applying the	Chapter 4.4.7 , p. 282

Task No.	Required Work	Chapter and Page No.
	Residual Impact Significance Model (page II) and WA Offset template (Appendix 1) in the WA Environmental Offsets Guidelines (2014). Provide spatial data defining the area of any identified significant residual impacts and proposed offsets in relation to the Development Envelope. Where significant residual impacts remain, propose an appropriate offsets package that is consistent with the WA Environmental Offsets Policy and Guidelines.	
Social Surroundings		
Aboriginal Heritage		
25	Conduct archaeological and ethnographic studies of the area likely to be directly and/or indirectly impacted by the Proposal in order to identify and characterise any Aboriginal heritage sites and their relevance and importance to Aboriginal People and their culture.	Chapter 4.5.3 , p. 286
26	Describe and assess any potential impacts (direct and indirect) to Aboriginal heritage values in 25 above that may occur as a result of implementation of the Proposal.	Chapter 4.5.4 , p. 303 Chapter 4.5.5 , p. 305
27	Describe any proposed mitigation measures to avoid or minimise the identified direct and indirect impacts on Aboriginal heritage in 26 above.	Chapter 4.5.6 , p. 316
28	Include any proposed management and/or monitoring plans for Aboriginal heritage that will be Implemented pre- and post-construction to demonstrate and ensure the EPA's objectives can be met.	Chapter 4.5.5 , p. 305 Chapter 4.5.6 , p. 316
29	Identify and describe the potential residual impacts (direct and indirect) that may occur following implementation of the proposed mitigation measures and determine the significance of the residual impacts on the identified environmental values of Aboriginal heritage with reference to the residual impact model set out in the WA Environmental Offsets Guidelines.	Chapter 4.5.7 , p. 317
Noise		
30	Undertake noise monitoring along the proposed alignment to determine ambient noise levels in areas of noise sensitive receptors.	Chapter 4.6.3.1 , p. 318
31	Undertake a screening assessment and if required a detailed noise assessment in accordance with the relevant guidelines to predict future noise levels resulting from the Proposal on sensitive receptors, including recreational values as appropriate.	Chapter 4.6.4.1 , p. 325 Chapter 4.6.5.1 , p. 326
32	Identify relevant noise mitigation measures for identified sensitive receptors in 31 above and describe any proposed mitigation to reduce the potential impacts of construction and operation of the Proposal. Provide maps of and justification for the location and number of any proposed mitigation infrastructure.	Chapter 4.6.6.1 , p. 383
33	Include any proposed management and/or monitoring plans for noise that will be implemented pre- and post-construction to demonstrate and ensure the EPA's objectives can be met.	Chapter 4.6.6.1 , p. 383
34	Identify and describe the potential residual impacts (direct and indirect) that may occur following implementation of the proposed mitigation measures and determine the significance of the residual impacts of noise on the identified sensitive receptors in 31 above with reference to	Chapter 4.6.7.1 , p. 386

Task No.	Required Work	Chapter and Page No.
	the residual impact model set out in the WA Environmental Offsets Guidelines.	
<i>Visual Amenity, dust and light-spill</i>		
35	Characterise the land use and aesthetic (visual amenity) values along the proposed alignment that have the potential to be impacted by implementation of the Proposal.	Chapter 4.6.3.2, p. 321
36	Identify and describe any potential direct and indirect impacts on identified visual amenity values in 35 above as a result of implementation of the Proposal.	Chapter 4.6.4.2, p. 325 Chapter 4.6.5.2, p. 357
37	Identify and describe any proposed mitigation measures to avoid or minimise the potential impacts to visual amenity values in 35 above along the proposed alignment.	Chapter 4.6.6.2, p. 385
38	Characterise current, pre-construction dust and light-spill emissions at sensitive receptors along the proposed alignment that could be impacted by dust and/or light-spill emissions (including headlight glare and intersection lighting) during construction and operation of the Proposal.	Chapter 4.6.3.2, p. 321
39	Identify and describe the potential sources and impacts (direct and indirect) of dust and light-spill (including headlight glare or intersection lighting) for the sensitive receptors in 38 above that may arise from construction and operation of the Proposal.	Chapter 4.6.4.2, p. 325 Chapter 4.6.5.2, p. 357
40	Describe and assess any proposed mitigation measures to avoid or minimise the identified sources of and direct and indirect impacts from dust and light-spill (including headlight glare or intersection lighting) in 38 above.	Chapter 4.6.6.2, p. 385
41	Include any proposed management and/or monitoring plans for visual amenity, dust and light-spill that will be implemented pre- and post-construction to demonstrate and ensure the EPA's objectives can be met.	Chapter 4.6.6.2, p. 385
42	Identify and describe the potential residual impacts (direct and indirect) that may occur following implementation of the proposed mitigation measures and determine the significance of the residual impacts on the identified sensitive receptors of visual amenity, dust and light-spill with reference to the residual impact model set out in the WA Environmental Offsets Guidelines.	Chapter 4.6.7.2, p. 386

Executive Summary

Introduction

The Great Northern Highway (GNH) is a critical freight link between the Perth metropolitan area, and the towns and mining centres of the Midwest and Pilbara regions of Western Australia (WA). The GNH forms part of Highway 1, a network of highways that connect all mainland state capitals. In 2014, Main Roads WA (Main Roads) established the Muchea to Wubin Integrated Project Team (IPT)—comprising Main Roads and industry partners Arup and Jacobs (combining to form Arup Jacobs Joint Venture, ASJV)—to conduct a comprehensive planning review of the full Muchea to Wubin link along the GNH. The focus of the planning review was to improve freight efficiency and safety for both road users and local communities.


Heavy vehicles currently struggle with the steep grades on the GNH at Bindoon Hill. The impediments to 53.5 m road trains travelling along the current section of the GNH include:

- Bindoon town: major safety concerns regarding conflicts between local traffic, pedestrians, over size and/or over mass vehicles and heavy freight travelling through the Bindoon town centre.
- Bindoon Hill:
 - ▶ the steep grades of up to 6.2% over a 2 km length currently result in heavy vehicles travelling slowly at speeds of approximately 20 km/h; the volume of slow-moving traffic would be exacerbated by 53.5 m road trains travelling at 12 km/h or less; this speed differential between vehicle types creates a significant traffic hazard when lighter vehicles are attempting to travel at up to 100 km/h
 - ▶ there are a number of substandard horizontal curves and no passing lanes on the downhill sections
 - ▶ high temperatures in the summer months can adversely impact the bitumen surface and heavy vehicle performance on the steep sections of the hill.

As part of a planning review, sixteen corridor options were investigated, assessed and refined. Following this review, it was determined that a more efficient route around Bindoon Town and Hill would be required if freight efficiency is to be improved. As a result of the review, a bypass route was selected and endorsed by the Minister for Transport on 12 January 2017, following approval by the WA Planning Commission (WAPC).

Main Roads proposes to construct a bypass around Bindoon town and Bindoon Hill (hereafter referred to as the Bindoon Bypass, or the Proposal), located within the Shire of Chittering approximately 70 km north east of Perth and approximately 13 km north of Muchea. The Bindoon Bypass will divert from the existing GNH at the Chittering Roadhouse, running to the west of Bindoon and re-joining the GNH north of Calingiri Road (Figure ES 1). This will involve the construction of 47 km of new highway.

The Bindoon Bypass will be constructed in stages based on the expected traffic volumes. The initial stage (Interim Stage) will consist of single carriageway (two lanes) with a number of overtaking lanes for both north-bound and south-bound traffic, as well as stopping facilities. The second stage (Ultimate Stage) will build on the work done in the Interim Stage to accommodate higher numbers of road users, and comprises an upgrade to dual carriageway (four lanes) between Chittering Roadhouse and Bindoon-Moora Road. Upgrades to local roads, rail crossings and intersections may also be required, as well as relocation of services, fencing of the road reserve and construction of driveway accesses for landowners. This Environmental Review Document (ERD) has been prepared for assessment of potential impacts associated with both the Interim and Ultimate Stages of the Bindoon Bypass.



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:
 Arup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.arup.com
 Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia
 Scale at A3
1:120,000
 0 1,400 2,800
 Metres
 Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

GNH
ROADS WESTERN AUSTRALIA

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ARUP TRADING AS ASJW
JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia
 Client Project
Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass South
 Environmental Review Document

Regional Location and Development Envelope/s

Figure: ES 1

Drawing No GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001		Issue 1	
Task EDR	Drawing Status / Other Draft		
Date 30/05/2019	By BG	Chkd LB	Appd TJ

Background and Context

Option Investigations and Studies

Main Roads has investigated options to improve the GNH in the vicinity of Bindoon Town and Bindoon Hill. A wide range of corridors were investigated, including the previously endorsed Perth to Darwin National Highway (PDNH; this endorsement was later removed following strong public opposition), Brand Highway alternatives and hybrid GNH/PDNH corridors. Sixteen corridor options were investigated, assessed and refined between straight line kilometre (SLK) 37.80 and SLK 94.74, with Western Bypass Corridor A (the Bindoon Bypass) endorsed by the Minister for Transport on 12 January 2017, following approval by the WA Planning Commission (WAPC). Greater detail on the corridor selection process is provided in **Chapter 2.4**.

Main Roads commissioned the following studies and investigations to inform the ERD, in line with the requirements of the Environmental Scoping Document (ESD—0) prepared by the Environmental Protection Authority of WA (EPA) for the Proposal:

- flora and vegetation surveys
- dieback assessment and mapping
- fauna surveys including targeted Black Cockatoo assessments
- groundwater review and assessment
- surface water quality assessment
- noise modelling and assessment
- landscape character and visual impact assessment
- light-spill and headlight glare assessment
- Aboriginal heritage surveys (archaeological and ethnographic)
- non-indigenous heritage survey.

The results of these studies and investigations have been used to undertake an impact assessment, described in detail in **Chapter 4**.

Stakeholder Consultation

Extended community consultation for the Bindoon Bypass has been undertaken since 2016, to provide input into the Bindoon Bypass corridor selection process, and to inform development of the preferred corridor. Consultation supporting this submission occurred with various Government agencies and other key stakeholders, as described in **Chapter 3**.

Part IV and EPBC Act Referrals

The Bindoon Bypass was referred to the EPA under section 38 of the WA *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) on 1 September 2017. The referral was advertised by the EPA for a seven-day public comment period from 15 September 2017 to 21 September 2017. Two comments on the referral were received by the EPA during this period. On 2 October 2017, the Chairman of the EPA determined the Proposal required further assessment at the level of public environmental review.

Main Roads also referred the Bindoon Bypass to the Commonwealth Department of the Environment and Energy (DoEE—now the Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment [DAWE]) under the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act) on 1 September 2017. The DAWE considered the Proposal and determined it to be a Controlled Action on 29 January 2018 (EPBC 2017/8035). A request for additional information in the form of Preliminary Documentation was received

by Main Roads on 7 May 2018 (**Appendix B**). At that time, the DAWE confirmed that the information required could be presented in this ERD, with a single document meeting the needs of both the EPA and the DAWE. As the ESD was approved by the EPA prior to the Controlled Action decision, the Proposal cannot be assessed under the accredited process provisions of section 87 of the EPBC Act; however, the DAWE informed Main Roads that they intend to coordinate the assessment process with the EPA where possible, and that the information required for the DAWE assessment may still be provided in this ERD.

As a result of baseline environmental surveys and stakeholder consultation undertaken after September 2017, alternative alignments were identified at six locations along the proposed Bindoon Bypass. These revisions generally received greater landowner support than the initial alignment, and in some cases arose directly from landowner requests. The revisions also resulted in reduced environmental impacts overall. However, these changes also caused the alignment to deviate outside the referred Development Envelope. Main Roads has adopted these alignment changes to take forward into land acquisition and detailed design. A section 43A request to change the Proposal during assessment was submitted to the EPA on 09 July 2018 and is provided in **Appendix C**.

Proposal Objectives

The Proposal objectives are to:

- Improve road safety in line with the State “Towards Zero” policy: safety will be improved by diverting regional traffic, including heavy freight vehicles, out of Bindoon town onto a fit-for-purpose highway.
- Increase freight efficiency: bypassing Bindoon, implementing a constant 110km/h speed limit, and utilising maximum vertical grades of 3% will improve freight efficiency on the highway, while still allowing important freight movements in and out of Bindoon.
- Improve network reliability: bypassing Bindoon will improve network reliability by allowing more consistent travel times between the Chittering Roadhouse and New Norcia.
- Enhance travel wellbeing: the intersection strategy proposed enhances travel wellbeing by providing more direct access to a higher-quality primary distributor road than is currently the case. Provision of suitable roadside stopping places, signage and landscaping treatments will further support this objective.
- Contribute to sustainable and viable communities: provision of the bypass and its proposed intersections will reduce congestion and freight traffic in Bindoon town, while ensuring that the communities in the vicinity of the Bindoon Bypass remain connected and viable.
- Enhance the environment: developing detailed mitigation and management measures during the planning and development of the Proposal will maximise opportunities for environmental enhancement along the proposed corridor.

The proposed Bindoon Bypass will contribute to the wider Muchea to Wubin Upgrade Project achieving its objectives, and will provide the community with a safer, more efficient GNH.

Overview of the Proposal

The Proposal begins approximately 17 km north of Muchea and finishes approximately 28 km north of Bindoon. Towns and centres in the region include Bindoon, Gingin, Muchea, Wannamal and New Norcia. The major land use is agriculture and grazing with some horticulture. There are no major industrial facilities or mining operations within the region. The proposed Bindoon Bypass is predominantly located within the Shire of Chittering, with a minor road placed within the Shire of Gingin (**Figure 1-1**). Approximately 36 km of new dual carriageway, and 11 km of new single carriageway, will be constructed along an alignment between Chittering Roadhouse and the northern tie-in point, located approximately 1.25 km north of Calingiri Road. The concept design alignment is shown in **Figure 2-6**.

The ESD describes the environmental impact of the works associated with construction and operation of the Proposal via the following five Key Environmental Factors:

- Flora and Vegetation
- Terrestrial Fauna
- Hydrological Processes
- Inland Waters Environmental Quality
- Social Surroundings.

Following publication of the ESD, the EPA undertook a review of the environmental factors and objectives. This review resulted in Hydrological Processes and Inland Waters Environmental Quality being combined into a single environmental factor, Inland Waters. This ERD has been prepared to reflect the environmental factors as published on the EPA website as of August 2018.

Table ES1 and **Table ES2** provide the key characteristics of the proposal.

Table ES1: Summary of the Proposal

Proposal Title	Great Northern Highway – Bindoon Bypass.
Proponent Name	Main Roads Western Australia.
Short Description	The Proposal is to construct and operate a new 47 km section of the Great Northern Highway (GNH) within the Shires of Chittering and Gingin. The Proposal bypasses the town of Bindoon located approximately 70 km north east of Perth, WA. The Proposal consists of a combination of four-lane dual carriageway, four-lane single carriageway, two-lane single carriageway and a bridge across the Brockman River. The Proposal diverts from the existing GNH at the Chittering Roadhouse, runs west of Bindoon, joining the proposed Bindoon North section north of Calingiri Road.

Table ES2: Location and Proposed Extent of Physical and Operational Elements

Element	Location	Proposed Extent
Road construction and associated infrastructure	Figure 1-1	<p>Clearing and disturbance of no more than 503 ha of native vegetation, trees over pasture and cleared land.</p> <p>This consists of 120 ha of native vegetation, of which 107.9 ha is in good or better condition, 374 ha of trees over pasture and nine hectares of cleared land.</p> <p>Within this 503 ha, clearing of no more than:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 204.8 ha of Carnaby's Black Cockatoo foraging habitat (of Moderate value or higher) including trees with hollows suitable for Black Cockatoos • 168.0 ha of Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo foraging habitat (of Moderate value or higher) including trees with hollows suitable for Black Cockatoos • 10 trees with hollows previously used by Black Cockatoos for nesting will be cleared • 2.5 ha of vegetation associations corresponding to the Nooning vegetation complex which is below 30% of its pre-European extent

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0.4 ha of Good or better condition native vegetation in a total disturbance footprint of 2.7 ha within the boundaries of Conservation Category (CC) wetlands • 60 ha of Commonwealth EPBC ACT listed Banksia Woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain, Threatened Ecological Community, <p>The Development Envelope is 2,552.5 ha.</p>
--	--	--

Summary of Potential Impacts, Proposed Mitigation and Outcomes

A summary of potential impacts, mitigation and predicted outcomes is provided in **Table ES3**.

An impact mitigation hierarchy of avoid, minimise, rehabilitate or offset (**Chapter 4.2.6**) has been implemented throughout the concept design phase of the Proposal, and will continue to be implemented during detailed design and construction.

Table ES3: Summary of Potential Impacts, Proposed Mitigation and Outcomes

Flora and Vegetation	
EPA Objective	To protect flora and vegetation so that biological diversity and ecological integrity are maintained
Policy and guidance	<p>EPA policy and guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statement of environmental principles, factors and objectives (EPA 2016a) • Environmental factor guideline - flora and vegetation (EPA 2016b) • Technical guidance: flora and vegetation surveys for environmental impact assessment (EPA 2016c) <p>Other policy and guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey guidelines for Australia's threatened orchids: guidelines for detecting orchids listed as 'Threatened' under the EPBC Act (Department of the Environment 2013) • Threat abatement plan for disease in natural ecosystems caused by <i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i> (Department of the Environment 2014) • Approved conservation advice (incorporating listing advice) for the Banksia Woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain Ecological Community (Threatened Species Scientific Community 2016) • Geomorphic wetlands of the Swan Coastal Plain dataset (DBCA 2016) • WA environmental offsets policy (Government of WA 2011) • WA environmental offsets guidelines (Government of WA 2014a) • WA environmental offsets template (Government of WA 2014b).
Potential impacts	<p>The following impacts are anticipated as a result of implementation of the Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • permanent loss of 119.1 ha of native vegetation, of which 107.9 ha is in good or better condition, within the Development Footprint including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ 61.7 ha that is in Very Good to Excellent condition ▶ 2.5 ha of vegetation corresponding to the Nooning complex ▶ 0.4 ha of vegetation in Good or better condition associated with CC wetlands. ▶ permanent loss of 60 ha of the EPBC Act listed Banksia Woodlands TEC ▶ permanent loss of 13.5 ha of riparian and non CC wetland vegetation in good or better condition • permanent loss of 42 individuals of the P2 species <i>Drosera sewelliae</i>, four individuals of the P2 species <i>Leucopogon squarrosus</i> subsp. <i>trigynus</i>, two individuals of the P3 species <i>Verticordia rutilastra</i>, one individual of the P4 species <i>Anigozanthos humilis</i> subsp. <i>chrysanthus</i> and 108 individuals of the P4 species <i>Verticordia paludosa</i> • fragmentation of native vegetation, TEC and/or conservation significant flora populations. <p>The following indirect impacts may arise as a result of implementation of the Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • degradation of native vegetation associations and the TEC due to altered ground and surface water hydrology • degradation of native vegetation associations and the TEC due to introduction and/or spread of weeds or <i>Phytophthora</i> dieback
Mitigation	<p>Avoid:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impacts to native vegetation and conservation significant flora have been avoided and minimised during the concept design phase, by preferentially locating the Development Footprint within previously cleared paddocks to avoid conservation significant flora and vegetation. • The Development Footprint was modified to avoid an historic record of <i>Drakaea elastica</i> identified from the DBCA database. <p>Minimise:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During the detailed design phase: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Clearing of native vegetation will be further reduced through engineering solutions, including but not limited to:

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - additional steepening of batters - installation of barriers in areas of high conservation value (e.g. through the EPBC Act listed Banksia Woodland TEC) to reduce clear zone requirements - reduction of median widths or design of medians to reduce the clearing required between carriageways. <p>▶ Drainage will be designed to avoid the movement of soils and/or water potentially carrying <i>Phytophthora</i> dieback into areas mapped as dieback-free.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The area to be cleared will be surveyed and accurately marked in the field, with pegs/flagging. • Drainage for the road will be designed to avoid movement of water from areas identified as dieback-infested to dieback-free areas. • A dieback and weed hygiene management plan will be developed for construction of the Proposal. • Priority flora species not within the Development Footprint will be clearly marked as no-go zones, and access to these areas restricted. A 20 m buffer will be applied to Priority flora locations where practicable. Where this cannot be achieved, the no-go zone will start at the edge of the Development footprint. The 20 m buffer will be maintained on all other sides at these locations. • Areas of the EPBC Act listed Banksia Woodland TEC and vegetation association BmKgHg outside of the Development Footprint, will be clearly marked as no-go zones and access to these areas restricted. • Educational and induction/training material about all relevant significant flora and ecological communities will be provided to contractors working on the Proposal, to reduce the risk of accidental clearing. <p>Rehabilitate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revegetation will commence in the autumn following completion of construction works within designated revegetation areas and corridors to maintain ecological linkages. • Annual surveys of revegetation areas will be undertaken to assess revegetation success and weed presence/cover.
<p>Outcomes</p>	<p>Residual Impact:</p> <p>The Proposal will result in clearing of no more than 119.1 ha of native vegetation, of which 107.9 ha is in good or better condition, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 60 ha of EPBC Act listed Banksia Woodland TEC • 61.7 ha that is in Very Good to Excellent condition • 2.5 ha of vegetation corresponding to the Nooning complex • 0.4 ha of vegetation in Good or better condition associated with CC wetlands. • 13.5 ha of riparian and wetland vegetation in Good or better condition • 42 individuals of the P2 species <i>Drosera sewelliae</i>, four individuals of the P2 species <i>Leucopogon squarrosus</i> subsp. <i>trigynus</i>, two individuals of the P3 species <i>Verticordia rutilastra</i>, one individual of the P4 species <i>Anigozanthos humilis</i> subsp. <i>chrysanthus</i> and 108 individuals of the P4 species <i>Verticordia paludosa</i> <p>Offset:</p> <p>Main Roads is proposing to offset the potential significant residual impacts to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Banksia Woodlands TEC • vegetation associated with CC wetlands that is in Good or better condition • vegetation associations corresponding to the Nooning vegetation complex (which is below 30% of their pre-European extent).
Terrestrial Fauna	
<p>EPA Objective</p>	<p>To protect terrestrial fauna so that biological diversity and ecological integrity are maintained.</p>
<p>Policy and guidance</p>	<p>EPA policy and guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statement of environmental principles, factors and objectives (EPA 2016a) • Environmental factor guideline - terrestrial fauna (EPA 2016d) • Technical guidance: sampling methods for terrestrial vertebrate fauna (EPA 2016e)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Technical guidance: sampling of short range endemic invertebrate fauna (EPA 2016f) • Technical guidance: terrestrial fauna surveys (EPA 2016g). <p>Other policy and guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey guidelines for Australia's threatened bats: guidelines for detecting bats listed as threatened under the EPBC Act (Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts—now DAWE—2010) • Survey guidelines for Australia's threatened mammals: guidelines for detecting mammals listed as threatened under the EPBC Act (Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities—DSEWPaC, now DAWE—2011a) • Survey guidelines for Australia's threatened reptiles: guidelines for detecting reptiles listed as threatened under the EPBC Act (DSEWPaC 2011b) • Chuditch (<i>Dasyurus geoffroii</i>) recovery plan (Department of Environment and Conservation—now DBCA—2012) • Carnaby's Cockatoo (<i>Calyptorhynchus latirostris</i>) recovery plan (Department of Parks and Wildlife—now DBCA—2013) • Forest Black Cockatoo (Baudin's Cockatoo <i>Calyptorhynchus baudinii</i> and Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo <i>Calyptorhynchus banksii naso</i>) recovery plan (Chapman 2008) • EPBC Act environmental offsets policy (DSEWPaC 2012b) • WA environmental offsets policy (Government of WA 2011) • WA environmental offsets guidelines (Government of WA 2014a) • WA environmental offsets template (Government of WA 2014b).
<p>Potential impacts</p>	<p>The following direct and indirect impacts to fauna are anticipated as a result of the Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • permanent loss of 137.1 ha of fauna habitat. • permanent loss of 130.3 ha of habitat for the Brush Wallaby • permanent loss of 54.4 ha of habitat for the Chuditch • permanent loss of 69.2 ha of habitat for the Brush-tailed Phascogale • permanent loss of 6.8 ha of habitat for the Water-rat • permanent loss of 204.8 ha of foraging habitat identified as having Moderate or higher value for Carnaby's Black Cockatoo and 79.3 ha of potential breeding habitat. • permanent loss of 168 ha of foraging habitat with a Moderate or higher value for the Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo and 68.5 ha of potential breeding habitat • fragmentation of habitat and loss of connectivity • habitat degradation due to altered ground and surface water hydrology • habitat degradation due to introduction or spread of weed or dieback • fauna mortality from vehicle strikes. • ten trees containing hollows showing evidence of previous use by Black Cockatoos • 117 trees with suitable (but not currently or previously used) hollows.
<p>Mitigation</p>	<p>Avoid:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impacts to hollows showing evidence of use by Black Cockatoos have been avoided and minimised where practicable, through alignment changes and engineering solutions such as steepening of batters. These changes have resulted in 15 trees with hollows used by Black Cockatoos being avoided. • Impacts to terrestrial fauna and their habitats has been avoided and minimised during the concept design phase, by preferentially locating the Development Footprint within previously cleared paddock. • Where impacts to fauna habitat are unavoidable, the extent of clearing has been minimised as far as practicable by the employment of road safety barriers, steepening batters of cut and fill areas and adjusting road levels to minimise the depth/height of cut and fill areas. <p>Minimise:</p>

	<p>To further minimise and mitigate potential impacts to terrestrial fauna, the following management measures, actions and controls are proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During the detailed design phase: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Clearing of native vegetation will be further reduced through the use of engineering solutions including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - additional steepening of batters - installation of barriers in areas of high conservation value (e.g. where Black Cockatoo hollows are close to the road) to reduce clear zone requirements - reduction of median widths or design of medians to reduce the clearing required between carriageways. ▶ Road design in the vicinity of habitat trees 5806, 4142, 2999 and 9081 will be reviewed to determine if the design and/or alignment can be modified in order to retain these trees. ▶ Drainage will be designed to avoid: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - ponding of water near the roadside to avoid attraction of fauna including Black Cockatoos - the movement of soils and/or water potentially carrying <i>Phytophthora</i> dieback into areas mapped as dieback-free. ▶ Fauna underpasses will be included in the detailed design and will be designed to allow movement of fauna including Grey Kangaroos, Brush Wallabies, Chuditch and Water-rat (in watercourse areas). • The areas to be cleared will be accurately marked in the field with pegs/flagging. • Trapping and relocation of fauna will occur in areas of fauna habitat prior to clearing occurring. Preferentially, fauna will be encouraged to disperse on their own accord. • Fauna spotters will be engaged during clearing of fauna habitat to supervise the dispersal and/or relocation of remnant fauna. • Excavations and trenches will be fenced to exclude fauna, or temporary fauna escape ramps will be installed and excavations inspected daily before 9 am for trapped fauna. • Trees with hollows previously used by, or suitable for, Black Cockatoos within the Development Envelope but not within the Development Footprint will be clearly marked as no-go zones, and access to these areas restricted. • If clearing of Black Cockatoo habitat is to occur during the breeding season, all potential nesting trees identified by BCE (2017, 2018) within the area to be cleared will be inspected by a suitably qualified person, to determine if any hollows are currently being used by Black Cockatoos. • If any hollows within the Development Footprint are identified as being in use by Black Cockatoos, the hollow-bearing tree and a 10 m-diameter buffer around the tree will be marked as a no-go area. Clearing of the tree will not be undertaken until a suitably qualified person has verified that the hollow is no longer being used. • No plant species which provides habitat for Black Cockatoos will be planted within 10 m of the edge of the road seal. • During construction, speed limits will be reduced (for example, to 40 km/h) to reduce the risk of fauna strikes. • All fauna injured during the construction period will be taken to an authorised veterinarian or wildlife carer. • Fauna warning signs will be installed in areas where native vegetation occurs next to the roadside. • Educational and induction material about the significant flora and ecological communities will be provided to contractors working on the construction, to reduce the risk of accidental clearing. <p>Rehabilitate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Species mixes used in revegetation will aim to provide the following ecological services: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ provide foraging and potential breeding habitat for Black Cockatoos. ▶ support fauna movement within the road reserve and between patches of existing native vegetation outside of the Development Envelope. • Revegetation will commence in autumn, following completion of construction works within designated revegetation areas and corridors to reinstate ecological linkages. • Revegetation will include placement of hollow logs and brush, to provide fauna habitat and protection from feral predators while vegetation establishes. To further mitigate impacts, Main Roads will offset the clearing of hollows previously used by Black Cockatoos through the installation of artificial nesting hollows.
Outcomes	Residual Impact:

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 137.1 ha of natural fauna habitats (i.e. those not classified as paddock) will be cleared, including 130.3 ha of habitat for the Brush Wallaby, 54.4 ha of habitat for the Chuditch, 69.2 ha of habitat for the Brush-tailed Phascogale and 6.8 ha of habitat for the Water-rat. • 204.8 ha of foraging habitat identified as having Moderate or higher value for Carnaby's Black Cockatoo and 79.3 ha of breeding habitat will be cleared. • 168 ha of foraging habitat with a Moderate or higher value for the Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo and 69.2 ha of breeding habitat will be cleared. • No more than 10 trees with hollows previously used by Black Cockatoos and 76 hollows suitable for Black Cockatoos as identified in BCE (2018) will be cleared. <p>Offset: Main Roads is proposing to offset the potential significant residual impacts to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • hollows previously used by Black Cockatoos (Carnaby's Black Cockatoo and the Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo) • Carnaby's Black Cockatoo foraging and potential breeding habitat (including trees with hollows suitable for Black Cockatoos) • Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo foraging and potential breeding habitat (including trees with hollows suitable for Black Cockatoos)
Inland Waters	
EPA Objective	To maintain the hydrological regimes and quality of groundwater and surface water so that environmental values are protected.
Policy and guidance	<p>EPA policy and guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statement of environmental principles, factors and objectives (EPA 2016a) • Environmental factor guideline – inland waters (EPA 2018b). <p>Other policy and guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Geomorphic wetlands of the Swan Coastal Plain dataset (DBCA 2016) • Hydrogeological reporting associated with a groundwater well licence (DoW 2009) • Stormwater management manual for WA (DoW 2004) • State planning policy 2.9: water resources (WAPC 2006) • Guidelines for treatment of stormwater runoff from the road infrastructure (Austroads 2003) • Roads near sensitive water resources (DoW 2006a) • Australian and New Zealand guidelines for fresh and marine water quality (ANZECC & ARMCANZ 2000) • WA environmental offsets policy (Government of WA 2011) • WA environmental offsets guidelines (Government of WA 2014a) • WA environmental offsets template (Government of WA 2014b).
Potential impacts	<p>Groundwater</p> <p>The following groundwater impacts may occur through implementation of the Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • changes to groundwater levels, flow, connectivity and groundwater storage, particularly due to the influence of road cuttings, fill areas and subsurface compaction • temporary changes to groundwater quality from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ accidental spills and leaks from construction plant, machinery and equipment ▶ dewatering and/or excavation exposing potential acid sulfate soils (PASS) ▶ drainage maintenance issues and polluted surface water runoff entering groundwater systems ▶ water usage practices on site ▶ excavation across aquifer boundaries leading to cross contamination of aquifers • impacts to groundwater users.

	<p>Surface Water and Wetlands</p> <p>The following impacts to surface waters and wetlands may occur through implementation of the Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • changes in surface water runoff volumes due to increased impervious areas • changes in water quality during construction due to disturbance of PASS, transportation of sediments from exposed soils, discharge of groundwater abstracted during construction activities (e.g. from bridge construction or excavation for cuttings) and accidental spills of construction related chemicals • changes in surface water flow paths/hydrological regimes due to construction of road embankments or other permanent structures • changes in water quality during road operation from surface runoff, transporting pollutants discharged to the road surface (e.g. fuel, litter, heavy metals from brake pads) • disturbance to conservation category (CC) wetlands.
<p>Mitigation</p>	<p>Avoid:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In order to avoid construction water abstraction impacts to the EPBC Act listed Banksia Woodlands TEC and all other groundwater-dependent ecosystems (GDEs), bores will be located such that the potential drawdown below identified occurrences of the TEC is less than 0.5 m, in accordance with ecological water requirements criteria set out by the DWER (DoW 2006b). <p>Minimise:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The detailed design phase will assess the viability of alignment adjustments and design criteria, such as steepening of cut/fill batter slopes, to minimise the area of CC wetlands impacted. • Permanent earthworks will not extend below the natural groundwater level. • The detailed design phase for the Tea Tree Road intersection will aim to contain road design and construction activities within the current southern boundary of the road reserve to minimise impacts to the CC wetland at this location. • Where practicable construction of the Brockman River crossing will be scheduled for the drier months of the year (October-April), in order to maximise the depth to groundwater and reduce dewatering requirements. • Dewatering impact mitigation measures (including acid sulfate soil—ASS—and salinity management) will be developed as part of the construction environmental management plan (CEMP) and implemented in support of any application for dewatering works. A groundwater operating strategy will be developed and implemented as necessary to support the supply of construction water. • Detailed ASS investigations will be undertaken for the Brockman River crossing during the detailed design phase, to confirm the presence/absence of PASS and identify construction management requirements. • Should actual ASS or PASS be encountered during the investigation, the ASS management plan prepared in consultation with the DWER will include impact mitigation measures consistent with the <i>Treatment and management of soil and water in acid sulfate soil landscapes</i> (DER 2015b). • Drainage design standards, through the Proposal's drainage strategy, will be implemented during detailed design. The objective of the drainage strategy is to maintain drainage across the site to as close to the pre-development condition as practicable. This will be achieved in accordance with the DWER's principles of water resource management, as detailed in the <i>Stormwater management manual for Western Australia</i> (DoW 2004) and the <i>Decision process for stormwater management in Western Australia</i> (DWER 2017). • Site-specific erosion and sediment control measures will be developed as part of the CEMP, to minimise environmental impacts of stormwater runoff during construction activities. It may include use of silt fences and sediment traps to prevent soil export to waterways and wetlands, particularly during wet seasons; this will be determined during detailed design. • All fuels and chemicals will be stored in secure, impervious, bunded areas at least 50 m from drainage lines, in the construction compound. Individual substances will be stored in accordance with the relevant material safety data sheet specifications. • All relevant construction plant will be equipped with spill kits, and a spill response procedure will be established for the Proposal. • A refuelling procedure will be established and implemented for refuelling within the construction compound. • Additional groundwater salinity testing will be undertaken prior to construction to establish the pre-construction salinity regime. • Water quality monitoring will be undertaken at the Brockman River crossing and the Teatree Road watercourse to establish a pre-impact baseline.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A section 17 permit under the WA <i>Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914</i> (RIWI Act—also known as a bed-and-banks permit) will be applied for, seeking approval for river bed and banks works associated with construction of the Brockman River bridge. This application and permit will also address the mitigation of potential direct impacts to wetlands from road construction. • Water quality monitoring will be conducted at strategic locations prior to construction, throughout the construction period and for a period after project completion. The purpose of the monitoring regime will be to assess whether the mitigation and management strategies described herein are effective relative to existing surface water quality. • The proposed new Brockman River bridge will not exacerbate flooding, as it will be designed to accommodate backwater from a 1 in 100-year ARI event to no more than 100 mm higher than current water levels. • Swales, and an emergency response procedure, will be implemented during the road operations phase to mitigate water pollution from the road surface. • A groundwater operating strategy will be developed for abstraction of groundwater for construction purposes and approval sought under the RIWI Act. <p>Rehabilitate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The banks of watercourses disturbed by construction will be stabilised and revegetated.
<p>Outcomes</p>	<p>Residual Impact:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Localised, temporary drawdown of groundwater levels due to construction dewatering and water abstraction may occur. Groundwater levels are expected to return to pre-impact levels following construction. • Although impacts to surface water are unlikely to be significant, a range of mitigation measures will be in place to reduce impacts to as low as reasonably practicable. Implementation of these mitigation measures will result in the following minor residual impacts to surface water and wetlands: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ minor localised alteration to surface water flows during construction phase ▶ minor increase in runoff volume and contaminant concentrations in streams due to drainage of road runoff during operation phase ▶ minor direct loss of wetland habitat due to placement of road and bridge structures in wetland areas ▶ localised increase in flood depth and width in the floodplain of Brockman River upstream of the bridge and culvert system. • Disturbance of no more than 2.7 ha within the mapped boundaries of CC wetlands, of which 0.4 ha is covered by native vegetation in Good or better condition and the remainder is cleared or vegetation in a degraded condition. <p>Offset:</p> <p>Main Roads is proposing to offset the potential significant residual impacts to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • vegetation associated with CC Wetlands that is in Good or better condition.
<p>Social Surroundings – Heritage</p>	
<p>EPA Objective</p>	<p>To protect social surroundings from significant harm.</p>
<p>Policy and guidance</p>	<p>EPA policy and guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statement of environmental principles, factors and objectives (EPA 2016a) • Environmental factor guideline - social surroundings (EPA 2016j) • Guidance for the assessment of environmental factors in accordance with the EP Act: assessment of Aboriginal heritage (EPA 2004c). <p>Other policy and guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WA environmental offsets policy (Government of WA 2011) • WA environmental offsets guidelines (Government of WA 2014a) • WA environmental offsets template (Government of WA 2014b).
<p>Potential impacts</p>	<p>The following impacts may occur as a result of implementation of the Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • physical damage or loss of Aboriginal heritage sites, and subsequent impacts to mythological, cultural and heritage values • loss of access to and use of Aboriginal heritage sites for Traditional Owners

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • permanent loss of heritage sites due to clearing for construction • fragmentation of existing heritage sites. <p>Aboriginal Heritage Four Aboriginal Heritage sites intersect the Development Envelope:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gingin Brook Waggyl registered site (Site ID 20008) • Lennard Brook (Place ID 20650) • Udumung Brook Artefact 1 (Place ID 3528) • Burroloo Well (Place ID 3528) (no impact is expected as archaeological surveys indicate the site is not located at the coordinates on file for this location). <p>Other potential impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One newly identified site comprising artefacts of Aboriginal origin (named Spring Valley Artefact Scatter) is located within the Development Footprint, and is likely to be directly impacted by the Proposal; however, the significance of impact will depend on whether this site is recognised under the AH Act. • The Development Footprint includes approximately 2.31 ha of the Lennard Brook and 41.41 ha of the Gingin Brook Waggyl sites. The waterways by which these sites are defined are ephemeral, so exposure of surface water in these watercourses will be minimal and intermittent. • Restriction to hunting and other traditional practices within known heritage sites/places as a result of the Proposal is expected to be low, as the identified sites are located on private freehold properties. • Targeted archaeological surveys found no archaeological objects in the Aboriginal sites or places. Impacts to these sites/places, and their biological and physical features, resulting from the Proposal are therefore not considered significant. <p>Non-Indigenous Heritage There are a number of existing structures located in the Development Footprint that cannot be avoided and will be directly impacted as a result of construction of the new road. None of these are likely to be assessed by the Heritage Council's Register Committee as meeting the threshold for entry in the State Register of Heritage Places (Archae-aus 2018). Notwithstanding this, any impacts to these places will require consultation by Main Roads with the WA Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH), to ascertain whether submissions under the government heritage property disposal (GHPD) process are required.</p>
<p>Mitigation</p>	<p>Avoid:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Of the twelve Aboriginal heritage sites (registered and other 'heritage places') that exist within the Development Envelope, nine of these have been avoided by the Proposal throughout the concept design phase. • Three of the five newly identified existing structures that may exhibit non-indigenous heritage value, and 15 of the 16 registered municipal inventory (MI) places, have been avoided by the Proposal. • The Development Envelope intersects the boundary of the registered MI place Cullala Siding (Place ID 14194); however, the existing structures within this place will not be impacted by the Proposal. • Construction of the Brockman River bridge will avoid direct impacts to the key physical, biological and mythological aspects of this watercourse. <p>Minimise:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The detailed design phase will assess the viability of alignment adjustments to minimise or avoid impacts to Aboriginal heritage sites and places, in particular the Spring Valley Artefact Scatter. Where this is not reasonably practicable, Main Roads will seek consent under section 18 of the WA <i>Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972</i> (AH Act) to disturb this site. Artefacts will be recovered and relocated in consultation with archaeologists and/or the Yued people. • The Brockman River bridge, and any culverts required along the alignment, will be designed and constructed to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ prevent scour damage on the downstream side. ▶ include erosion protection or control measures on adjacent banks as required. ▶ avoid damming of flows and minimise the retention time of water on the upstream side, through design of culverts and bridge structures such that the change in water level for significant rainfall/flood events is no more than 100 mm on current conditions. ▶ maintain existing flow paths, quantities and velocities.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All employees and contractors will be informed about the cultural heritage values of the Proposal and the presence and location of known Aboriginal archaeological sites, which areas are or may be considered Aboriginal sites under section 5(a) of the AH Act, and their obligations under section 15 of the AH Act (to report the discovery of any Aboriginal cultural material, which may be uncovered in the course of their work or any other activities). Prior to nearby ground development, all known sites not to be impacted by the Proposal will be clearly delineated as no-go zones using physical markers and/or fencing. Monitoring by archaeologists, and/or appropriately trained members of the Yued community, will take place during clearing activities in areas that have high potential for sites with some sub-surface archaeological integrity. In the event of potential heritage artefacts or skeletal material being discovered during construction activities, work will stop in the immediate vicinity of the find and an investigation undertaken to determine its origin and significance, including recovery of materials if required. In the case of skeletal material being uncovered, the WA Police will be notified. No disturbance to the site will be permitted until the investigation has been completed, or approval to do so has been granted by the relevant decision-making authority. Main Roads will continue consultation with the Yued Working Party and provide further information on potential impacts to Aboriginal heritage sites, places and values as required. <p>Impacts to non-indigenous heritage places within and adjacent to the Development Footprint have been, and will continue to be, minimised through the following management actions and design principles:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The detailed design phase will assess the viability of alignment adjustments to minimise or avoid impacts to heritage sites and places; in particular, Harris Well 2 and the Spring Valley site. Consultation with the State Heritage Office on newly recorded places of potential heritage value will be undertaken, to determine if these places required assessment via the GHPD process. The GHPD process will be complied with by preparing a letter to the State Heritage Office, advising of impacts to those listed and newly identified heritage places. Ongoing consultation will take place with the Shires of Chittering and Gingin regarding the heritage values within and adjacent to the Development Footprint. Non-indigenous heritage places in proximity to the Development Footprint and within the Development Envelope will be identified as No-Go zones (for example, Harris Well 2), clearly demarcated on the ground prior to construction works commencing, and communicated to personnel via inductions and toolbox awareness sessions. All employees and contractors will be informed about the presence and location of non-indigenous heritage places identified within and adjacent to the Development Envelope, and their responsibilities in relation to these.
<p>Outcomes</p>	<p>Residual Impact:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No long term impacts to ethnographic sites is anticipated following construction. A request for AH Act section 18 consent for disturbance to registered Aboriginal heritage sites or places will be submitted during the detailed design phase of the Proposal. No disturbance to any Aboriginal heritage sites or places outside of that approved under section 18 of the AH Act will occur. The demolition/clearing of newly identified non-Indigenous heritage places and places on the MI is not likely to adversely affect any historical or cultural associations. <p>Offset: No offsets are required or proposed for this factor.</p>
<p>Social Surroundings – Amenity</p>	
<p>EPA Objective</p>	<p>To protect social surroundings from significant harm.</p>
<p>Policy and guidance</p>	<p>The following EPA policy and guidance have been considered during the preparation of this ERD and the supporting technical studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Statement of environmental principles, factors and objectives (EPA 2016a) Environmental factor guideline - social surroundings (EPA 2016j). <p>Other policy and guidance considered during the preparation of this ERD and the supporting technical studies includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> State planning policy 5.4: road and rail transport noise and freight considerations in land use planning (WAPC 2009) Implementation guidelines for State planning policy 5.4 (Department of Planning & WAPC 2014) Australia/New Zealand Standard 1158 (2005): lighting for roads and public spaces Visual landscape planning in WA; a manual for evaluation, assessment, siting and design (WAPC & Department for Planning and Infrastructure 2007)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Guidelines for landscape and visual impact assessment (Landscape Institute & IEMA 2013) • WA environmental offsets policy (Government of WA 2011) • WA environmental offsets guidelines (Government of WA 2014a) • WA environmental offsets template (Government of WA 2014b).
<p>Potential impacts</p>	<p>The following impacts may occur as a result of implementation of the Proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • increase in noise levels and vibration for sensitive receptors in a rural setting • increase in dust emissions during construction • reduced local amenity due to increase in road traffic, change in rural vista due to cuts or embankments, glare from headlights, presence of street lighting and proximity of national highway • noise impact modelling identified that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ the daytime noise target stipulated in State planning policy 5.4 will be exceeded at 11 residences, with 10 also exceeding the night-time noise target ▶ the daytime noise limit stipulated in State planning policy 5.4 will be exceeded at Lot 1 (no. 428) Gingilling Road, Mooliabeenee • visual impacts at 12 of 24 viewpoints were assessed as Moderate or High • light spill impacts to residences (whether of unverified, unliveable or occupied status) were assessed as Negligible or Low • dust impacts are only likely to occur during the construction phase and will be minor, short term and temporary—particularly in relation to other dust generating activities that regularly occur in the study area (i.e. cropping).
<p>Mitigation</p>	<p>Avoid: To avoid impacts to amenity, the Proposal has sought to reduce clearing of native vegetation, which acts to screen the road from nearby residences. The design has also implemented a maximum grade of 3.5%, which reduces the amount of braking and/or engine revving as a result of travel up/down hill.</p> <p>Minimise: Noise</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noise generated during construction of the Bindoon Bypass will be managed in accordance with the <i>Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997</i> (Noise Regulations). Noise Regulations require that the following management occurs during construction: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ the construction work is carried out in accordance with control of environmental noise practices set out in section 4 of Australian Standard (AS) 2436-2010 <i>Guide to noise and vibration control on construction, maintenance and demolition sites</i> ▶ the equipment used on the premises will be the quietest reasonably available ▶ if Main Roads is required to prepare a noise management plan in respect of the construction site: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the noise management plan will be prepared and given in accordance with the requirement, and approved by the local government - the construction work will be carried out in accordance with the noise management plan, excluding any ancillary measure ▶ if out-of-hours works are required, a noise management plan will be developed and approved by the local government (in accordance with the local government’s delegated authority from the DWER): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - plan will be submitted to the local government not later than 7 days before proposed works commence - all nearby sensitive receivers will be consulted on the noise management plan prior to its submission to local government - all nearby noise sensitive receivers will be notified in writing at least 24 hours prior to the works commencing. • Noise mitigation measures employed by Main Roads in rural settings generally includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ preparation and implementation of a noise management plan as required under State planning policy 5.4 ▶ selecting a low-noise pavement for sections of the alignment

- ▶ noise monitoring at residences experiencing high noise emissions to confirm if State planning policy 5.4 targets or limits are exceeded
- ▶ modifications to noise sensitive premises and improvements (such as double glazing, installation of air conditioning units) to reduce noise inside residences where required under State planning policy 5.4.

Visual amenity

- Landowners will be consulted to identify suitable types of planting/landscaping (for example, trees and tall shrubs, rather than low shrubs and groundcovers), and to investigate opportunities for early planting of screening vegetation prior to commencement of construction.
- The detailed design phase will assess the viability of alignment adjustments and design criteria such as steepening of cut/fill batter slopes, median width, or provision of barriers to minimise the amount of existing native vegetation to be cleared.

Light spill and headlight glare

To reduce light spill from intersection lighting, the following mitigation measures will be incorporated into the design:

- review of best practice lighting during detailed design to confirm high-pressure sodium light remains appropriate
- luminaire photometry selection which provides sufficient illumination of the road surface with minimal light spill beyond the road
- zero-degree tilt for luminaires for minimal light spill
- use of aeroscreen (flat glass) luminaires wherever possible for minimal glare and light spill
- lighting will be installed at intersections only: no lighting will be installed along the remainder of the alignment.
- Where required to reduce impacts to residences from headlights of vehicles travelling on the Bindoon Bypass, planting will be undertaken around the outside of curves, including intersections, near those residences that may be impacted.

Dust

To reduce dust during construction, the following mitigation measures will be implemented:

- development of a construction dust management plan in accordance with DEC (2011) *A guideline for managing the impacts of dust and associated contaminants from land development sites, contaminated sites remediation and other related activities*.
- wetting of cleared areas as required (water trucks or similar will be available during construction)
- should visible dust plumes be observed, works will be reduced or stopped until either conditions become less favourable for dust lift-off or additional measures (wetting of cleared areas) are undertaken to reduce dust lift-off
- reduced vehicle speed limits (e.g. 40km/hr) on site to reduce the potential for dust to be generated by vehicle movements
- use of dust suppressants and soil stabilisation treatments (e.g. Dustex, gluon, hydro-mulch, mulch) to stabilise areas left exposed for more than two weeks without construction activities occurring.

Rehabilitate:

- progressive revegetation of cleared areas to reduce the amount of time these remain bare and susceptible to wind erosion
- revegetation of the road reserve to reflect the existing vegetation patterns and structure
- retention of *Xanthorrhoea* spp. outside the Development Footprint
- planting along the road reserve with a focus on covering exposed earthworks
- planting with linear canopy planting and intermittent planting to mirror existing open wooded landscape
- planting along road corridor with gaps that mirror the existing open woodland
- intermittent planting of shrubs along the road reserve away from viewpoints to achieve vegetation consistent with the surrounding area.

Outcomes	<p>Residual Impact:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The traffic noise assessment conducted by the GNH IPT in 2018 (GNH IPT 2018b) found that up to 11 noise sensitive receivers have potential to experience noise levels above State planning policy 5.4 targets, and one sensitive receiver with potential to experience noise levels above the limits.• The existing rural character of the area will be compromised by the presence of the highway, and this will be experienced at a range of magnitudes from various viewpoints. The mitigation measures proposed will reduce impacts to visual amenity, with the reduction becoming more pronounced over time as vegetation matures and establishes. Planting along the road reserve will mirror existing landscape character along the Development Envelope, and therefore it is expected that visual impacts will not be significant.• The proposed intersection lighting for the Proposal is not anticipated to result in light spill impacts to residences. As such, light spill impacts are negligible.• The operation of the road will not generate dust due to it being sealed. During construction, a range of mitigation measures will be available to be employed by site construction teams. Dust levels are therefore not expected to increase beyond those levels already generated by surrounding agricultural land uses. <p>Offset: No offsets are required or proposed for this factor</p>
-----------------	--

1. Introduction

The Great Northern Highway (GNH) is a critical freight link between the Perth metropolitan area and the towns and mining centres of the Midwest and Pilbara regions of Western Australia (WA), forming part of Highway 1, a network of highways that connect all mainland state capitals. In 2014, Main Roads Western Australia (Main Roads) established the Muchea to Wubin Integrated Project Team (IPT), comprising Main Roads and industry partners Arup and Jacobs (combining to form Arup Jacobs Joint Venture, ASJV) to conduct a comprehensive planning review of the full Muchea to Wubin link along the GNH. The focus of the planning review was to improve freight efficiency and safety for both road users and local communities.

The GNH currently runs through the increasingly populated regions of the Swan Valley, Bullsbrook and Bindoon. This population growth is increasing road congestion, which in turn is reducing social amenity and road service quality, and negatively impacting freight efficiency and road safety. Through the Swan Valley and Bullsbrook, GNH is being bypassed by the Perth-Darwin National Highway - Swan Valley Bypass project (also known as Northlink WA). This will provide a free-flowing freight link between Muchea and Perth's northern suburbs.

One of the outcomes of the planning review of GNH between Muchea and Wubin was to allow 53.5 m road trains along this section of GNH. Currently 53.5 m road trains are required to stop and "break down" at Wubin due to the steepness of parts of GNH and the lack of a road train assembly area south of Wubin. Northlink WA is currently building a road train assembly area at Muchea which will be completed in 2019.


Heavy vehicles currently struggle with the steep grades on the GNH at Bindoon Hill. It has been identified that a more efficient route through or around Bindoon Hill is required if freight efficiency is to be improved. Identified impediments to 53.5 m road trains travelling along this section of GNH include:

- Bindoon town: major safety concerns regarding conflicts between local traffic, pedestrians, over size and/or over mass vehicles (OSOMs) and heavy freight travelling through the Bindoon town centre
- Bindoon Hill:
 - ▶ the steep grades of up to 6.2% over a 2 km length currently result in heavy vehicles travelling slowly at speeds of approximately 20 km/h; the volume of slow-moving traffic would be exacerbated by 53.5 m road trains travelling at 12 km/h or less; this speed differential between vehicle types creates a significant traffic hazard when lighter vehicles are attempting to travel at up to 100 km/h
 - ▶ there are a number of substandard horizontal curves and no passing lanes on the downhill sections
 - ▶ high temperatures in the summer months can adversely impact the bitumen surface and heavy vehicle performance on the steep sections of the hill.

As part of a planning review, sixteen corridor options were investigated, assessed and refined. Following this review, it was determined that a more efficient route around Bindoon Town and Hill would be required if freight efficiency is to be improved. As a result of the review, a bypass route was selected and endorsed by the Minister for Transport on 12 January 2017, following approval by the WA Planning Commission (WAPC).

Main Roads proposes to construct a bypass around Bindoon town and Bindoon Hill (hereafter referred to as the Bindoon Bypass, or the Proposal), located within the Shire of Chittering approximately 70 km north east of Perth and approximately 13 km north of Muchea, Western Australia (**Figure 1-1**). The Bindoon Bypass will divert from the existing GNH at the Chittering Roadhouse, running to the west of Bindoon and re-joining the GNH north of Calingiri Road. This will involve the construction of 47 km of new highway. The Bindoon Bypass will be constructed in stages based on the expected traffic volumes. The initial stage (Interim Stage) will consist of single carriageway (two lanes) with a number of overtaking lanes for both north-bound and south-bound traffic as well as stopping facilities. The second stage (Ultimate Stage) will build on the work done in the Interim Stage to accommodate higher numbers of road users, comprising an upgrade to dual carriageway (four lanes) between Chittering Roadhouse and Bindoon-Moora Road. Upgrades to local roads, rail crossings and intersections may also be required, as well as relocation of services, fencing of the road reserve and

construction of driveway accesses for landowners. This ERD has been prepared for assessment of potential impacts associated with both the Interim and Ultimate Stages of the Bindoon Bypass. To this end, a combined Development Footprint has been used in order to quantify and assess potential impacts.



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary



Joint Venture Partners:

Anup Pty Ltd
Level 14 Exchange Tower
2 The Esplanade
Perth WA 6000
Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
www.anup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
Perth WA 6000
Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

Scale at A3
1:120,000

0 1,400 2,800
Metres

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ARUP TRADING AS ASJW

JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia

Client Project

**Great Northern Highway
Bindoon Bypass South
Environmental Review Document**

Proposal Location

Figure: 1-1

Drawing No GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 F1-1	Issue 1		
Task EDR	Drawing Status / Other Draft		
Date 30/05/2019	By BG	Chkd LB	Appd TJ

1.1 Purpose and Scope of the ERD

The purpose of this Environmental Review Document (ERD) is to present an environmental review of the Proposal. This review includes a detailed description of the Proposal components in **Chapter 2**, and the predicted environmental impacts and proposed mitigation and management measures for the Key Environmental Factors identified in the Environmental Scoping Document (ESD—approved on 4 December 2017) in **Chapter 4**. This ERD also assesses the potential impacts of the Proposal on Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES) in **Chapter 6**, as required by the *Request for additional information – preliminary documentation* received from the Commonwealth Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) on 2 May 2018, in accordance with the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act).

This document has been prepared in accordance with the following guidance:

- WA Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) Instruction on how to prepare an Environmental Review Document (EPA 2018a)
- EPA-endorsed ESD (0)
- Request for additional information – preliminary documentation (**Appendix B**).

The ERD contains the following information:

- details of the Proponent (Chapter 1)
- detailed description of the Proposal and its key characteristics (**Chapter 2**)
- stakeholder engagement (Chapter 3)
- receiving environment (Chapter 4)
- assessment of the impacts of the Proposal on the Key Environmental Factors and measures to avoid, minimise, manage or mitigate impacts (**Chapter 4**)
- other environmental factors or matters (**Chapter 5**)
- residual impacts and any offset requirements (Chapter 7)
- assessment of the impacts of the Proposal on the relevant MNES and measures to avoid, minimise, manage or mitigate impacts (**Chapter 6**)
- discussion on the interconnectedness of the Key Environmental Factors and predicted impacts and assessment of the predicted outcomes in relation to the environmental principles and objects (**Chapter 7**).

Copies of the technical studies and investigations undertaken to inform the environmental impact assessment (EIA), and referred to in this ERD, are provided in the appendices to this document. For hardcopy versions of this ERD, the appendices are provided on electronic media attached to the inside back cover of this document.

The following terms are used throughout the ERD:

- **study area:** The boundary within which field studies and investigations were undertaken. This is a nominal 500 m corridor.
- **Development Envelope:** The boundary within which the elements of the Proposal are located. This is smaller than the study area.
- **Development Footprint:** The area that will be directly disturbed by the proposal (e.g. cleared of native vegetation). The Development Footprint is entirely within the Development Envelope.

1.2 Proponent

The Proponent for the Proposal is Main Roads Western Australia. Details for the Proponent are as follows:

Commissioner of Main Roads
Main Roads Western Australia
PO Box 6202
East Perth WA 6002

ABN: 50 860 676 021

The key contacts for this Proposal are:

John Braid

Principal Environment Officer
Infrastructure Delivery Directorate
Main Roads Western Australia
PO Box 6202
East Perth WA 6002

Phone: (08) 9323 6183

Email: John.Braid@mainroads.wa.gov.au

Lisa Boulden

Senior Environment and Heritage Advisor
Great Northern Highway: Muchea to Wubin Stage 2
Upgrade Project
PO Box H615
Perth WA 6001

Phone: (08) 9469 4667

Email: Lisa.Boulden@jacobs.com

1.3 Environmental Impact Assessment Process

The Bindoon Bypass was referred to the EPA under section 38 of the WA *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) on 1 September 2017. The referral was advertised by the EPA for a seven-day public comment period from 15 September 2017 to 21 September 2017. Two comments on the referral were received by the EPA during this period.

On 2 October 2017, the Chairman of the EPA determined the Proposal required further assessment at the level of public environmental review. The public review period was set at six weeks and the ESD for the environmental review would be prepared by the EPA.

The ESD for the Proposal identified the following five Key Environmental Factors:

- Flora and Vegetation
- Terrestrial Fauna
- Hydrological Processes
- Inland Waters Environmental Quality
- Social Surroundings.

The ESD set out the studies and investigation required to inform the ERD, and the information that must be included in the ERD. Main Roads has commissioned the following studies and investigations to inform the ERD, in line with the requirements of the ESD:

- flora and vegetation surveys
- dieback assessment and mapping
- fauna surveys including targeted Black Cockatoo assessments
- groundwater review and assessment
- surface water quality assessment

- noise modelling and assessment
- landscape character and visual impact assessment;
- light-spill and headlight glare assessment
- Aboriginal heritage surveys (archaeological and ethnographic)
- non-indigenous heritage survey.

The results of these studies and investigations have been fed into the impact assessment undertaken in **Chapter 4**.

The assessment timeline for the Proposal was set out in the ESD and is provided in **Table 1-1**. A revised timeframe is also provided.

Table 1-1: Assessment Timeline

Key Assessment Milestone	Duration	Completion Date from ESD	Revised Completion Date ¹
EPA approves ESD		04/12/2017	
Main Roads submits first draft ERD		31/08/2018	
EPA provides comment on first draft ERD	6 weeks from receipt of ERD	12/10/2018	
Main Roads submits revised draft ERD	4 weeks from receipt of comments	09/11/2018	1/10/2019
EPA authorises release of ERD for public review	2 weeks from EPA approval of ERD	23/11/2018	15/10/2019
Main Roads releases ERD for public review	3 weeks from EPA authorisation	17/12/2018	5/11/2019
Close of public review period	6 weeks ²	10/02/2019	17/12/2019
EPA provides summary of submissions	3 weeks from close of public review	01/03/2019	7/01/2020
Main Roads provides response to submissions	5 weeks from receipt of submissions	05/04/2019	11/02/2020
EPA reviews the response to submissions	4 weeks from receipt of response to submissions	03/05/2019	10/03/2020
EPA prepares draft assessment report and completes assessment	6 weeks from EPA accepting response to submissions	14/06/2019	21/04/2020
EPA finalises assessment report and provides to Minister	6 weeks from completion of assessment	27/07/2019	2/06/2020

¹ Dates subject to change

² plus 2 weeks if over Christmas / New Year period

1.4 Other Approvals and Regulation

Other than the Minister for Environment, the EPA and the DAWE, the key decision making authorities (DMAs) relevant to the Proposal are:

- Minister for Environment / Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA)
- Minister for Water / Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER)
- Minister for Lands / Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH)
- Minister for Aboriginal Affairs / DPLH.

Following approval of the Proposal under both the EP Act and EPBC Act, the additional approvals detailed in **Table 1-2** may be required. These requirements will be determined during detailed design, and in consultation with the relevant regulator/Department.

Table 1-2: Other Approvals

Proposal Activities	Land Tenure/Access	Type of Approval	Legislation regulating the activity
Construction of Brockman River crossing and other creek crossings	Road reserve	Section 11 permit (Bed and Banks)	<i>Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914 (RIWI Act)</i>
Dewatering for bridge construction	Road reserve	5C license to take water (dewatering exemption may apply)	RIWI Act
Abstraction for construction water	Access agreements will be negotiated for all land not owned or managed by Main Roads.	5C license to take water 26D license to construct or alter a well	RIWI Act
Construction within the boundaries of a registered Aboriginal heritage site.	Road reserve	Section 18 permit	<i>Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972 (AH Act)</i>
Construction within the boundaries of heritage places or places more than 60 years' old	Road reserve Rail reserve (access agreement with the Public Transport Authority (PTA) and/or ARC Infrastructure will be in place)	Government Heritage Property Disposal (GHPD)	GHPD Process Policy

2. The Proposal

2.1 Background

Main Roads has investigated options to improve the GNH in the vicinity of Bindoon Town and Bindoon Hill. A wide range of corridors were investigated, including the previously endorsed Perth to Darwin National Highway (PDNH; this endorsement was later removed following strong public opposition), Brand Highway alternatives and hybrid GNH/PDNH corridors. It should be noted that the previously endorsed PDNH corridor is north of the PDNH – Swan Valley Section proposal that is currently under construction, and does not form part of that proposal. Sixteen corridor options were investigated, assessed and refined between straight line kilometre (SLK) 37.80 and SLK 94.74 which led to the recommendation of Western Bypass Corridor A (Bindoon Bypass) as the preferred corridor. Western Bypass A was endorsed as the preferred corridor by the Minister for Transport on 12 January 2017, following approval by the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC). Greater detail on the corridor selection process is provided in **Chapter 2.3**.

Main Roads referred the Bindoon Bypass to DAWE under the EPBC Act on 1 September 2017. DAWE considered the proposed action and determined it to be a Controlled Action on 29 January 2018 (EPBC 2017/8035). A request for additional information in the form of Preliminary Documentation was received by Main Roads on 7 May 2018 (see **Chapter 6** for this information). At that time, DAWE confirmed information required could be presented in this ERD with a single document meeting the needs of both the EPA and DAWE. As the ESD had been approved by the EPA prior to the Controlled Action decision, the Proposal cannot be assessed under the accredited process provisions of section 87 of the EPBC Act. However, the DAWE has informed Main Roads that they intend to coordinate the assessment process with the EPA where possible, and that the information required for the DAWE assessment can be provided in this ERD.

2.2 Changes to the Proposal Since ESD Approval

The Bindoon Bypass was referred to the EPA under section 38 of the EP Act in September 2017. The description of the proposal as referred can be found in the Bindoon Bypass S38 EP Act Referral Supporting Information Document (Revision 3, dated September 2017). A copy of the referral and supporting information can be found on the EPA website (<http://epa.wa.gov.au/proposals/great-northern-highway-muchea-wubin-upgrade-%E2%80%93-stage-2-bindoon-bypass>).

As a result of baseline environmental surveys and stakeholder consultation conducted after September 2017, alternative alignments were identified at six locations along the proposed Bindoon Bypass which resulted in the alignment being outside of the referred Development Envelope. These revisions to the alignment generally received greater landowner support than the initial alignment and in some cases have resulted directly from landowner requests. The revisions also result in reduced environmental impacts overall. This consultation process has demonstrated a positive project outcome with improved landowner agreement for the proposed Bindoon Bypass. Main Roads has adopted these alignment changes to take forward into land acquisition and detailed design.

The locations where these changes occurred are shown on **Figure 2-1** and are:

- **Southern Chittering realignment**

The original alignment referred to the EPA required a series of curves in order to minimise native vegetation clearing and resulted in severance of Lot 9001. The zoning of this property is rural residential and the severance was likely to have negative impacts on the future development potential of the property. The revised alignment results in improved road geometry and road safety by providing a longer straight, as well as allowing a shorter interchange structure due to a reduced skew at the southern tie-in. It also minimises the number of directly affected landowners. This alignment revision is considered to have a lower environmental impact than the original referral, owing to the fewer number of Black Cockatoo trees likely to be impacted.

- **Teatree Road**

Current Main Roads road standards require secondary roads that cross highways do so with a "staggered T" intersection treatment. The original alignment design implemented this staggered T at Teatree Road by moving the western intersection to the southern boundary of Lot 1 Teatree Road, Bindoon. This avoided severance of the property, undesirable impacts to the wetland on the eastern side of the Bindoon Bypass and a mapped occurrence of the Banksia Orange Yellow Sands Priority Ecological Community (PEC) (also considered representative of the EPBC Act listed Banksia Woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain Threatened Ecological Community [TEC]). On the western side, the existing western intersection was moved to the southern boundary of Lot 1 Teatree Road, Bindoon. Conversations with the landowner following the initial design period found that moving the intersection to the north and approximately midway through the property represented a better outcome for the landowner and would link in with future potential development plans for the property. No significant change in environmental impact was associated with this revision.

- **Wannamal wetland**

The proposed alignment submitted with the referral had the Bindoon Bypass crossing the Brockman River at its narrowest point (similar to previous highway designs). This resulted in large cut and fill requirements, both in terms of length and height/depth, and a bridge height of approximately 13 m. This alignment also passed close to an occupied house (Lot 1 Bindoon-Moora Road, Wannamal) which would be isolated from the remainder of the property. The property would be severed into two main portions, increasing the complexity of accessing the various portions of the property and the ability to farm it as a single entity. The change to the proposed crossing point of the Brockman River is a result of consultations with affected and adjacent landowners, which identified a number of concerns with the original alignment. A multi-criteria analysis (MCA) was undertaken to examine several alternative alignments. The revised alignment does not require the same extent of cut and fill as the original alignment and requires a much lower bridge across the Brockman River. The revised alignment also reduces severance impacts to Lot 1 Bindoon-Moora Road, Wannamal and reduces amenity impacts (noise and visual) to the occupied house. At the time of the revision, no detailed surveys had been completed to quantify the environmental values of the wetland. Hydrological impacts are expected to be similar between the original and revised alignments.

- **Calingiri Road**

The Development Envelope was extended to the north in order to better consolidate highway planning resulting in a more logical boundary (the point where the Bindoon Bypass re-joins the existing GNH). Other benefits include: avoiding duplication of engagement and land acquisition processes with affected owners, in particular the owners of Lot 3246 Great Northern Highway, Wannamal; and relocation of a truck stopping bay to a location with less environmental impact.


- **Local road to address loss of access to M1465 Cullalla Road, Mooliabeenee**

A new local access road was required to provide access to the severed portions of Lots M1465 and M1364 Cullalla Road, Mooliabeenee. Up to six trees may need to be removed for the access road. If any of these trees contain hollows suitable for use by Black Cockatoos, then the road alignment will be revised during detailed design to avoid the need to clear these trees.

- **Local road to address loss of access to Lot 37 Crest Hill Road, Mooliabeenee**

A new local access road providing access to the severed portion of Lot 37 Crest Hill Road, Mooliabeenee, needed to be realigned to avoid the 'danger zone template' of the adjacent rifle range.

A section 43A request to change the Proposal was submitted to the EPA on 09 July 2018. A request to vary the proposed action under section 156B of the EPBC Act was submitted to DAWE and approved on 5 October 2018. Both variation requests are provided in **Appendix C**.



Legend

- Referred Development Envelope
- Revised Development Envelope
- Cadastral Boundary
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road

Joint Venture Partners:

Anup Pty Ltd
Level 14 Exchange Tower
2 The Esplanade
Perth WA 6000
Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
www.anup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
Perth WA 6000
Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

Scale at A3
1:120,000

0 1,400 2,800
Metres

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ARUP TRADING AS ASJV
JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia

Client Project

Great Northern Highway
Bindoon Bypass
Environmental Review Document

Changes to the Proposal
Since Referral

Figure: 2-1

Drawing No GNH-CN12-E-GD-EA-00001 F2-1	Issue 1		
Task No ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft		
Date 30/05/2019	By BG	Chkd LB	Appd TJ

MXD Location: \\auy001\app02\ArcGIS\Server\WA_P850732_GNH\ERD\Figures\GNH-CN12-EA\PER-0001-F2-1.mxd

2.3 Justification

Due to the increase in demand for mineral resources, such as iron ore, and the exploration and development of oil and gas, the population and industry in the northwest of Australia has grown significantly. This increase in mining and construction activity has put a strain on existing road infrastructure, as well as causing traffic congestion and a significant amount of freight vehicles in Bindoon town. This is causing a reduction in social amenity and serviceability of the existing highway route.

In addition, the GNH is currently restricted to restricted access vehicle (RAV) 7 vehicles (36.5 m double road trains) between Muchea and Wubin, while RAV 10 vehicles (53.5 m triple road trains) can operate on the highway north of Wubin. Triple road trains must reconfigure to double road trains at the Wubin Road Train Assembly Area to continue their journey south to Perth. A key objective of the GNH Muchea to Wubin upgrade is to enable 53.5 m triple road trains to travel safely between Wubin and Muchea. These additional 220 km that triple road trains can travel will bring significant freight and fuel efficiency benefits.

As upgrading opportunities are limited along the current highway route, especially in the vicinity of Bindoon hill and through Bindoon town, the development of a new route is required. As such, it has been proposed to construct a new section of highway and to bypass Bindoon. To ensure a fit for purpose highway, it is necessary to construct a new road, apart from minor sections of online upgrade, from Chittering Roadhouse to north of Calingiri Road, as well as upgrade road connections and interchanges with the existing road network.

Predicted traffic volumes indicate that single carriageway is sufficient to achieve an acceptable level of service for 2031 traffic volumes; this is known as the Interim Case. Predicted traffic volumes suggest that partial duplication to dual carriageway will be required within 30 years; this is known as the Ultimate Case. Main Roads are progressing land acquisition based upon the Ultimate Case. A preliminary road design and determination of a road reserve boundary has been progressed to allow the commencement of the land acquisition process and to provide certainty to those landowners who are impacted by the footprint of the ultimate Bindoon Bypass.

The Proposal objectives are to:

- Improve road safety in line with the State “Towards Zero” policy: safety will be improved by diverting regional traffic, including heavy freight vehicles, out of Bindoon town onto a fit-for-purpose highway. In addition, the provision of adequately-spaced, safe grade-separated interchanges and intersections, overtaking lanes, a 1 m-wide centreline treatment, adequate sealed and unsealed shoulder width, improved signage and line marking, a compliant clear zone, and intersection lighting will serve to improve road safety.
- Increase freight efficiency: bypassing Bindoon, implementing a constant 110km/h speed limit, and utilising maximum vertical grades of 3% will improve freight efficiency on the highway, while still allowing important freight movements in and out of Bindoon. Efficiency will also be improved by reducing the overall travel time and increasing the average speed of freight along the new route. Reducing travel time, fuel consumption and general traffic congestion will support economic development and the productive capacity of the freight network.
- Improve network reliability: bypassing Bindoon will improve network reliability by allowing more consistent travel times between the Chittering Roadhouse and New Norcia. Intersections with the highway have been proposed in accordance with the Main Roads RAV Network requirements. In addition, the provision of Cook Road and Barn Road intersections significantly improves the route for vehicles to access Cullalla Road.
- Enhance travel wellbeing: the intersection strategy proposed enhances travel wellbeing by providing more direct access to a higher-quality primary distributor road than is currently the case. Provision of suitable roadside stopping places, signage and landscaping treatments will further support this objective.
- Contribute to sustainable and viable communities: provision of the bypass and its proposed intersections will reduce congestion and freight traffic in Bindoon town, while ensuring that the communities in the vicinity of the Bindoon Bypass remain connected and viable. Reducing impacts such as noise and air pollution


associated with freight vehicles will have benefits for residents and tourists in Bindoon. Northern and southern tie-ins to the existing GNH sustain good connectivity to Bindoon, as well as continued RAV access, so that GNH users and tourists can still access the town and its facilities with ease. In particular, the northbound right-turn movement into Bindoon is proposed to be a free-flow ramp which will help maintain the attractiveness of Bindoon for traffic on the highway. These factors will help sustain Bindoon town for the local community, businesses and tourism.

- Enhance the environment: developing detailed mitigation and management measures during the planning and development of the Proposal will maximise opportunities for environmental enhancement along the proposed corridor. During the planning and preliminary design phase, every effort has been made to minimise the impact on critical environmental constraints such as Carnaby's Black Cockatoo nesting trees, conservation wetlands and TECs. Revegetation of areas within the proposed road reserve, as well as within the existing road reserve, will create additional habitat and enhance connectivity with existing native vegetation.

The proposed Bindoon Bypass will contribute to the wider Muchea to Wubin Upgrade Project achieving its objectives, and will provide the community with a safer, more efficient GNH.

2.4 Alternatives Considered

The PDNH was first investigated in 1997 when Main Roads commissioned consultants Sinclair Knight Merz Pty Ltd (now Jacobs) to conduct an Alignment Definition Study. The study area encompassed the southern end of the Perth-Darwin National Highway - Swan Valley Bypass, and extended as far north as Mogumber. A number of options were evaluated and investigated within these bounds, with the endorsed route running to the west of Bindoon from the current Brand Highway and GNH intersection near Muchea, through to the intersection of Calingiri Road with the GNH. In 2002, a general 500 m-wide corridor was endorsed by the WAPC for the highway following extensive public consultation. The endorsed corridor is shown in **Figure 2-2**.



Legend

- Perth-Darwin National Highway Corridor
- Development Envelope
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:
 Arup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.arup.com
 Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia
 Scale at A3
1:183,060
 0 2,100 4,200
 Metres
 Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ARUP TRADING AS ASJW
JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia
 Client Project
Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass
 Environmental Review Document

Endorsed Perth-Darwin National Highway Corridor
Figure: 2-2

Drawing No GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-2	Issue 1
Task No ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft
Date 22/08/2019	By BG
Chkd LB	Appd TJ

In 2003, consultants GHD Pty Ltd (GHD) were appointed to further the work that Jacobs had conducted in the previous study. GHD validated the preferred PDNH alignment that Jacobs had selected and conducted further design studies on the route. These included environmental and heritage studies for the route along with community consultation. At the conclusion of the GHD study in 2007, due to strong community opposition, the Main Roads Steering Committee (the Steering Committee) and the WAPC directed that upgrading of the GNH be re-examined.

In 2009, Main Roads generated an internal report which concluded that upgrading the GNH was the preferred option, rather than constructing the endorsed PDNH. The key reasons for this were:


- reduced overall social impact
- shorter route length for the GNH upgrade option compared with the PDNH
- staging (funding) benefits associated with upgrading the existing GNH compared with the need to construct the PDNH in its entirety upfront
- delays to constructing the PDNH would result in a reduced level of service for the GNH, as traffic volumes would increase without corresponding highway upgrades
- construction of the PDNH would have required continued maintenance of the existing GNH alignment as it would remain a key regional route.

As a result, endorsement of the PDNH alignment was removed in 2010 by the Shires of Chittering and Gingin, the Steering Committee and the WAPC. Since 2010, upgrades to the GNH have been focused on improving the existing highway, which included planning for a small bypass to the east of Bindoon. Previous planning did not include consideration of 53.5 m road trains, instead assuming that Bindoon Hill was acceptable for continued use by heavy freight vehicles. The preferred town bypass option identified by GHD in April 2011 was developed further by the IPT. This option utilised the existing GNH Brockman River Bridge to the south of Bindoon and tied back to the existing GNH alignment at St Anne's Catholic Church to the north of Bindoon. Concept design of the preferred option was completed in July 2015.

Prior to the GNH Muchea to Wubin project, no studies have been undertaken in relation to online or offline options to improve the existing GNH over Bindoon Hill. Initially, the IPT undertook an assessment of alternative alignments for a possible deviation of the GNH around or through Bindoon Hill. Five corridors were considered in the concept stage and presented to the community in October 2015, as depicted in **Figure 2-3**. These included a corridor that traversed the base of the hill, three corridors that passed through various topographically advantageous locations in the hill and an online corridor which included upgrades to the existing GNH alignment.

These proposals generated some community opposition. A recurring point raised by some members of the community was the abandonment of the previously endorsed PDNH route. As a result of this community opposition, the Minister for Transport asked Main Roads to re-examine the previously endorsed PDNH corridor to determine an up to date cost estimate and allow a comparison of social, economic and environmental impacts against the GNH upgrade proposals.

In November 2015, the Steering Committee directed the IPT to determine whether an alternative option to upgrading the existing GNH was viable. The IPT was to investigate the previously endorsed PDNH alignment as well as other options that would make greater use of the Brand Highway or the GNH. A wide range of corridors were investigated during the new route selection phase, including the previously endorsed PDNH, Brand Highway alternatives and hybrid GNH/PDNH corridors. These corridors are shown in **Figure 2-4**.



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Bindoon Hill Corridors Options


- Route 1
- Route 2
- Route 3
- Route 4
- Route 5



Joint Venture Partners:
 Arup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.arup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia



mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

TRADING AS ASJV

Main Roads Western Australia

Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document



Five Corridors Considered in October 2015

Figure: 2-3

Drawing No: **GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-3** Issue: **1**

Task No: **ERD** Drawing Status / Other: **Draft**

Date	By	Chkd	Appd
30/05/2019	BG	LB	TJ



Legend

- Other Corridor Options Investigated
- Eastern Bypass Option C
- Western Bypass Corridor B
- Development Envelope
- Western Bypass Corridor A
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:

Anup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.anup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

Scale at A3
1:160,000

0 1,800 3,600
 Metres

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

GNH | **ARUP** | **JACOBS**

TRADING AS ASJW

Main Roads Western Australia

Client Project

Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document

Sixteen Corridors Considered in November 2015

Figure: 2-4

Drawing No GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-4	Issue 1		
Task No ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft		
Date 30/05/2019	By BG	Chkd LB	Appd TJ

MXD Location: \\auy001\app023\ArcGIS\Server\WA_P850732_GNH\ERD\Figures\GNH-CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-4.mxd

Two MCA processes were undertaken to refine the number of preliminary corridors for the Bindoon Bypass from sixteen down to three. The criteria assessed included environmental, heritage, social, freight efficiency and cost. The assessment also included significant stakeholder consultation with the aim of involving the community in the corridor selection process. This was done via individual landowner meetings, a public information session and stakeholder meetings. Information was also provided to the community via project brochures, articles in the local newspaper, the project website and Collabmap (a web-based engagement tool that allowed the team to share information and collect spatially located feedback from stakeholders and the community).


Following this assessment, the PDNH corridor was discounted due to its impact on areas of significant environmental value. The Brand Highway North and South options were also discounted as they were considered too long to be efficient for heavy vehicles in comparison to the alternatives, as well as being more expensive. This left three remaining corridors which were then renamed: Western Bypass Corridor A, Western Bypass Corridor B and Eastern Bypass Corridor C (**Figure 2-5**).

The three corridors were presented to the Minister for Transport on 21 March 2016. Approval was received to undertake further analysis, as well as a public consultation process. Following the public consultation, a detailed MCA framework was developed to assess the three corridors. Criteria assessed included safety, freight efficiency, network reliability, travel well-being, sustainability, community impacts and environment. In addition to the detailed MCA, the three corridors were assessed and compared in terms of cost, economics—benefit-cost ratio (BCR)—project delivery risks and other engineering considerations.

Western Bypass Corridor A was the best performing option in the detailed MCA. This strong performance in the MCA, combined with a comparable cost to the cheapest option, a good BCR, the least risks to delivery of the project, and better travel characteristics, resulted in the Western Bypass Corridor A being endorsed as the preferred corridor on 12 January 2017 by the Minister for Transport, following approval by the WAPC. Western Bypass Corridor A thereafter became known as the Bindoon Bypass, as represented in this Proposal.

The Bindoon Bypass is considered viable for the following reasons:

- Steep grades on the existing GNH at Bindoon Hill present a hazard to road users—heavy vehicles in particular. Heavy vehicles slow down significantly when travelling uphill, while on the downhill side there is a risk of heavy vehicles being unable to control the increase in speed, presenting a higher risk of collisions, vehicle rollover or runaway. These hazards would be exacerbated if 53.5 m triple road trains were introduced. The Bindoon Bypass eliminates the need for heavy vehicles to travel this section of road.
- Heavy vehicles currently travel through the town of Bindoon, creating a community safety hazard as well as a reduction in amenity due to congestion, noise and pollution. Bindoon Primary School is located approximately 180 m east of the existing GNH at the northern end of Bindoon. The Bindoon Bypass will remove heavy vehicles from the town (except those servicing Bindoon), providing an increase in community safety and amenity.



Legend

- Town or Centre
- Eastern Bypass Corridor Option C
- Western Bypass Corridor Option B
- Western Bypass Corridor Option A
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:
 Anup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.anup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

0 1,250 2,500 Metres Scale at A3
1:110,000

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ARUP TRADING AS ASJV
JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia

Client Project

Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document

Western Bypass Corridor A,
 Western Bypass Corridor B
 and Eastern Bypass Corridor C
Figure: 2-5

Drawing No GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-5	Issue 1
Task No ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft
Date 30/05/2019	By BG
Chkd LB	Appd TJ

MXD Location: \\auy01-app023\ArcGIS\Server\WA_P850732_GNH\ERD\Figures\GNH-CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-5.mxd

2.5 Proposal Description


The Proposal begins approximately 17 km north of Muchea and finishes approximately 28 km north of Bindoon. Towns and centres in the region include Bindoon, Gingin, Muchea, Wannamal and New Norcia. The major land use is agriculture and grazing with some horticulture. There are no major industrial facilities or mining operations within the region. The proposed Bindoon Bypass is predominantly located within the Shire of Chittering, with a minor road placed within the Shire of Gingin. The Proposal's location is shown in **Figure 1-1**. Approximately 36 km of new dual carriageway, and 11 km of new single carriageway, will be constructed along an alignment between Chittering Roadhouse and the northern tie-in point, located approximately 1.25 km north of Calingiri Road. The concept design alignment is shown in **Figure 2-6**, and described thereafter.

This ERD describes the concept design of the Ultimate Case of a new section of the GNH between Chittering Roadhouse and Calingiri Road (the Bindoon Bypass). The concept design includes the following key components:

- approximately 36 km of new dual carriageway and approximately 11 km of new single carriageway road
- grade separated interchanges with existing roads
- at-grade intersections with existing roads
- a river bridge and culverts
- travellers' rest areas
- landscaping and revegetation works
- new service roads
- modifications to local roads.

This ERD assesses the environmental impact of the works associated with construction and operation of the Proposal. Activities that generally form part of the construction phase include:

- vegetation removal and topsoil stripping
- fencing
- earthworks, including excavation of road cuttings, material extraction from borrow pits, placement and compaction of fill and embankment foundations
- piling and construction of foundations
- bridge construction
- stormwater drainage installation
- pavement construction
- road surfacing
- culvert supply and installation
- installation of associated road furniture
- relocation of services
- modifications to local roads
- construction of drainage basins
- use of water for construction purposes
- traffic management
- landscaping and revegetation.



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muchea North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:
 Anup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.anup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

0 180 360
 Metres
 Scale at A3
1:15,000

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ARUP TRADING AS ASJW
JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia


Client Project

Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document

Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases
Figure: 2-6 Page 1 of 16

Drawing No GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6	Issue 1		
Task No ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft		
Date 9/08/2019	By BG	Chkd LB	Appd TJ

MxD Location: \\jacobs.com\PERP\projects\BIF\Projects\B80732\Technical\GIS\ArMap\Environmental\CN12\ERD\Figures\GNH-CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-6.mxd



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muchea North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:

Arup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.arup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

Scale at A3
1:15,000

0 180 360
Metres

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

Main Roads Western Australia

ARUP TRADING AS ASJV

JACOBS

Client Project


Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document

Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases

Figure: 2-6 Page 2 of 16

Drawing No GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6	Issue 1		
Task No ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft		
Date 9/08/2019	By BG	Chkd LB	Appd TJ

MXD Location: \\jacobs.com\PER\Projects\PER\Projects\B80732\Technical\GIS\Arup\Environmental\CN12\ERD\Figures\GNH-CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-6.mxd



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muecha North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:
 Anup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.anup.com
 Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com
 © Main Roads Western Australia

Scale at A3
1:15,000
 Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA


ARUP TRADING AS ASJW
JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia Client Project
Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document

Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases
Figure: 2-6 Page 3 of 16
 Drawing No: **GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6** Issue: **1**
 Task No: **ERD** Drawing Status / Other: **Draft**

Date	By	Chkd	Appd
9/08/2019	BG	LB	TJ

MxD Location: \\jacobs.com\PER\projects\BIF\Projects\B80732\Technical\GIS\ArMap\Environmental\CN12\ERD\Figures\GNH-CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-6.mxd



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muchea North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:

Anup Pty Ltd
Level 14 Exchange Tower
2 The Esplanade
Perth WA 6000
Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
www.anup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
Perth WA 6000
Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

Scale at A3
1:15,000

0 180 360
Metres

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ARUP TRADING AS ASJW
JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia

Client Project

Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document


Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases
Figure: 2-6 Page 4 of 16

Drawing No: **GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6** Issue: **1**

Task No ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft
-----------------------	--

Date 9/08/2019	By BG	Chkd LB	Appd TJ
--------------------------	-----------------	-------------------	-------------------

MXD Location: \\jacobs.com\PERP\projects\PERP\Projects\B80732\Technical\GIS\ArxMap\Environmental\CN12\ERD\Figures\GNH_CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-6.mxd



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muchea North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:
 Anup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.anup.com
 Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com
 © Main Roads Western Australia

Scale at A3
1:15,000
 Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA


ARUP TRADING AS ASJW
JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia
 Client Project
Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass
 Environmental Review Document

Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases
Figure: 2-6 Page 5 of 16
 Drawing No: **GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6** Issue: **1**
 Task No: **ERD** Drawing Status / Other: **Draft**

Date	By	Chkd	Appd
9/08/2019	BG	LB	TJ

MXD Location: \\jacobs.com\PER\Projects\BIF\Projects\B80732\Technical\GIS\ArMap\Environmental\CN12\ERD\Figures\GNH_CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-6.mxd



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muchea North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary




Joint Venture Partners:

Anup Pty Ltd
Level 14 Exchange Tower
2 The Esplanade
Perth WA 6000
Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
www.anup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
Perth WA 6000
Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia



mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA



ARUP TRADING AS ASJV
JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia


Great Northern Highway
Bindoon Bypass
Environmental Review Document

Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases
Figure: 2-6 Page 6 of 16

Drawing No: GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6 Issue: 1

Task No: ERD Drawing Status / Other: Draft

Date	By	Chkd	Appd
9/08/2019	BG	LB	TJ



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muchea North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:

Arup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.arup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

Scale at A3
1:15,000

0 180 360
 Metres

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
 WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ARUP TRADING AS ASJW
JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia

Client Project

Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document


Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases

Figure: 2-6 Page 7 of 16

Drawing No: **GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6** Issue: **1**

Task No: ERD	Drawing Status / Other: Draft		
Date: 9/08/2019	By: BG	Chkd: LB	Appd: TJ

MXD Location: \\jacobs.com\PER\Projects\BIF\Projects\B80732\Technical\GIS\Arup\Environmental\CN12\ERD\Figures\GNH_CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-6.mxd



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muchea North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:
 Anup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.anup.com
 Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com
 © Main Roads Western Australia

Scale at A3
1:15,000
 Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA


ARUP TRADING AS ASJW
JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia Client Project
Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document

Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases
Figure: 2-6 Page 8 of 16
 Drawing No: **GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6** Issue: **1**
 Task No: **ERD** Drawing Status / Other: **Draft**

Date	By	Chkd	Appd
9/08/2019	BG	LB	TJ

MXD Location: \\jacobs.com\PER\Projects\BIF\Projects\B80732\Technical\GIS\ArMap\Environmental\CN12\ERD\Figures\GNH_CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-6.mxd



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muchea North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:
 Anup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.anup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

0 180 360
 Metres
 Scale at A3
1:15,000

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ARUP TRADING AS ASJW
JACOBS


Main Roads Western Australia Client Project

Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document

Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases
Figure: 2-6 Page 9 of 16

Drawing No GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6	Issue 1
Task No ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft
Date 9/08/2019	By BG
Chkd LB	Appd TJ

M:\D:\Location: \Jacobs.com\PER\Project\PER\Projects\PER0732\Technical\GIS\ArMap\Environmental\CN12\ERD\Figures\GNH_CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-6.mxd



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muchea North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:




Anup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.anup.com




Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

0 180 360 Metres
 Scale at A3
1:15,000

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50








Main Roads Western Australia

Client: **Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass**
 Project: **Environmental Review Document**

Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases

Figure: 2-6 Page 10 of 16

Drawing No GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6	Issue 1		
Task No ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft		
Date 9/08/2019	By BG	Chkd LB	Appd TJ



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muchea North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary



Joint Venture Partners:
 Anup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.anup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4468
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia
 0 180 360 Metres
 Scale at A3
1:15,000
 Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50




Main Roads Western Australia
 Client Project
Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document

Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases
Figure: 2-6 Page 11 of 16

Drawing No: **GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6** Issue: **1**

Task No ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft		
Date 9/08/2019	By BG	Chkd LB	Appd TJ



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muchea North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:
 Anup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.anup.com
 Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com
 © Main Roads Western Australia


Scale at A3
1:15,000
 0 180 360
 Metres
 Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

TRADING AS ASJV
ARUP JACOBS
 Main Roads Western Australia
 Client Project
Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document

Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases
Figure: 2-6 Page 12 of 16
 Drawing No: **GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6** Issue: **1**
 Task No: **ERD** Drawing Status / Other: **Draft**

Date	By	Chkd	Appd
9/08/2019	BG	LB	TJ

MXD Location: \\jacobs.com\PER\projects\PER\Projects\B80732\Technical\GIS\ArMap\Environmental\CN12\ERD\Figures\GNH-CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-6.mxd



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muchea North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:

Arup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.arup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

0 180 360
 Metres
 Scale at A3
1:15,000

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

Main Roads Western Australia
 Client Project

ARUP TRADING AS ASJV
JACOBS


Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document

Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases

Figure: 2-6 Page 13 of 16

Drawing No GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6	Issue 1		
Task No ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft		
Date 9/08/2019	By BG	Chkd LB	Appd TJ

MXD Location: \\jacobs.com\PER\Projects\BIF\Projects\B80732\Technical\GIS\ArMap\Environmental\CN12\ERD\Figures\GNH-CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-6.mxd



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muchea North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

North Arrow

Joint Venture Partners:

Anup Pty Ltd
Level 14 Exchange Tower
2 The Esplanade
Perth WA 6000
Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
www.anup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
Perth WA 6000
Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

Scale at A3
1:15,000

0 180 360
Metres

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ARUP
TRADING AS ASJW
JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia

Client Project

Great Northern Highway
Bindoon Bypass
Environmental Review Document


Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases

Figure: 2-6 Page 14 of 16

Drawing No: GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6 Issue: 1

Task No: ERD	Drawing Status / Other: Draft		
Date: 9/08/2019	By: BG	Chkd: LB	Appd: TJ

MXD Location: \\jacobs.com\PER\Projects\BIF\Projects\B80732\Technical\GIS\ArMap\Environmental\CN12\ERD\Figures\GNH_CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-6.mxd



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muchea North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:

Anup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.anup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

0 180 360
 Metres
 Scale at A3
1:15,000

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
 WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ARUP TRADING AS ASJW
JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia Client Project
Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document

Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases

Figure: 2-6 Page 15 of 16

Drawing No GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6	Issue 1		
Task No ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft		
Date 9/08/2019	By BG	Chkd LB	Appd TJ

MXD Location: \\jacobs.com\PERP\projects\PERP\Projects\B80732\Technical\GIS\ArxMap\Environmental\CN12\ERD\Figures\GNH_CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-6.mxd



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muechea North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary



Joint Venture Partners:
 Arup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.arup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia
 0 180 360 Metres
 Scale at A3
1:15,000
 Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

Main Roads Western Australia


ARUP TRADING AS ASJW
JACOBS

Client Project
Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document

Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases
Figure: 2-6 Page 16 of 16

Drawing No: **GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6** Issue: **1**

Task No: ERD	Drawing Status / Other: Draft		
Date: 9/08/2019	By: BG	Chkd: LB	Appd: TJ



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muchea North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary



Joint Venture Partners:
 Arup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.arup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia
 0 180 360
 Metres
 Scale at A3
1:15,000
 Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50




Main Roads Western Australia
 Client Project
Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass
 Environmental Review Document

Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases
 Figure: 2-6 Page 17 of 16

Drawing No: **GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6** Issue: **1**

Task No ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft		
Date 9/08/2019	By BG	Chkd LB	Appd TJ



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Muchea North (EPBC Approval Boundary)
- Design Interim Case
- Design Ultimate Case
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:

Arup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.arup.com

JACOBS GROUP (AUSTRALIA) PTY LTD
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

Scale at A3
1:15,000

0 180 360
 Metres

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ARUP TRADING AS ASJW
JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia

Client Project

Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document

Overview of the Proposed Bindoon Bypass Alignment, Showing Interim and Ultimate Cases
 Figure: 2-6 Page 18 of 16

Drawing No: **GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-6** Issue: **1**

Task No: ERD	Drawing Status / Other: Draft		
Date: 9/08/2019	By: BG	Chkd: LB	Appd: TJ

MXD Location: \\jacobs.com\PER\Projects\PER\Projects\B80732\Technical\GIS\Arup\Environmental\CN12\ERD\Figures\GNH_CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-6.mxd

Starting at the Chittering Roadhouse, the Bindoon Bypass diverges from the existing GNH on a new alignment west of Bindoon. The new road crosses the existing Teatree, Gray and Mooliabeenee Roads before running parallel to the Millendon Junction - Moora PTA rail line for approximately 13 km, where it crosses the existing Barn and Cook Roads. The new road then turns eastward and approaches the Wannamal wetland area, before turning north-east to cross the Wannamal wetland and the Brockman River. The alignment continues north-eastwards, crossing the existing Bindoon-Moora and Hay Flat Roads, before turning northwards in parallel with, and to the west of, the existing GNH for approximately 1.5 km. The new road then crosses over the existing GNH to tie into the proposed Bindoon North section.

In areas of flatter terrain, the new road is proposed to be as close to the existing ground as possible. As the terrain is generally undulating, however, cuttings and embankments will be required in many locations. The concept design allows for at-grade intersections, apart from a grade separated free-flow ramp for northbound vehicles turning right into Bindoon, and a grade separation of Mooliabeenee Road and Bindoon - Moora Road.

The proposed road reserve width varies greatly over the length of the alignment, due to variance in the size of embankments and cuttings and there being areas of dual and single carriageway. The proposed road reserve also widens locally at interchanges and intersections, and to accommodate side and service roads. At its narrowest, the proposed road reserve is 50 m in width, while it increases to 255 m where rest areas are proposed in both directions. A central median 30 m in width is maintained throughout the length of the dual carriageway section, apart from the southern end where a 15 m width is used to transition into the Muchea North section of the GNH. The wide median is proposed primarily for road user safety, as it will provide significant carriageway separation, reduce the risk of head-on collisions and driver confusion due to headlight glare. The final road reserve will accommodate landscaping and associated earthworks to support the Proposal.

The Proposal is summarised in **Table 2-1**. Location, and physical and operational elements, of the Proposal are provided in **Table 2-2**.

Table 2-1: Summary of the Proposal

Proposal Title	Great Northern Highway – Bindoon Bypass.
Proponent Name	Main Roads Western Australia.
Short Description	The Proposal is to construct and operate a new 47 km section of the Great Northern Highway (GNH) within the Shires of Chittering and Gingin. The Proposal bypasses the town of Bindoon located approximately 70 km north east of Perth, WA. The Proposal consists of a combination of four-lane dual carriageway, four-lane single carriageway, two-lane single carriageway and a bridge across the Brockman River. The Proposal diverts from the existing GNH at the Chittering Roadhouse, runs west of Bindoon, joining the proposed Bindoon North section north of Calingiri Road.

Table 2-2: Location and Proposed Extent of Physical and Operational Elements

Element	Location	Proposed Extent
Road construction and associated infrastructure	Figure 1-1	<p>Clearing and disturbance of no more than 503 ha of native vegetation, trees over pasture and cleared land.</p> <p>This consists of 120 ha of native vegetation, of which 107.9 ha is in good or better condition, 374 ha of trees over pasture and nine hectares of cleared land.</p> <p>Within this 503 ha, clearing of no more than:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 204.8 ha of Carnaby's Black Cockatoo foraging habitat (of Moderate value or higher) including trees with hollows suitable for Black Cockatoos • 168.0 ha of Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo foraging habitat (of Moderate value or higher) including trees with hollows suitable for Black Cockatoos • 10 trees with hollows previously used by Black Cockatoos for nesting will be cleared • 2.5 ha of vegetation associations corresponding to the Nooning vegetation complex which is below 30% of its pre-European extent • 0.4 ha of Good or better condition native vegetation in a total disturbance footprint of 2.7 ha within the boundaries of Conservation Category (CC) wetlands • 60 ha of Commonwealth EPBC ACT listed Banksia Woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain, Threatened Ecological Community, <p>The Development Envelope is 2,552.5 ha.</p>


2.6 Local and Regional Context

A map showing the Proposal's local and regional context is provided in **Figure 2-7**.

2.6.1 Other Developments

The three significant developments in the area surrounding the Proposal are described below and in **Figure 2-8**.

- **Bindoon Bypass – Northern Section:** north of the Proposal; involves predominantly offline upgrades to the existing GNH between SLK 94.74 and SLK 112.2 (from the tie-in point of the Proposal to the New Norcia Bypass); referred to the DAWE under the EPBC Act (EPBC 2019/8477) and to the DWER under Part V of the EP Act (Native Vegetation Clearing Permit—NVCP—CPS 8573/1).
- **Muchea North:** south of the Proposal; involves upgrading the existing GNH between SLK 38.6 and SLK 51.4 (Old Gingin Road to Chittering Roadhouse); approved by the DAWE under the EPBC Act (EPBC 2016/7656) and by the DWER under Part V of the EP Act (NVCP CPS 7563/2).
- **Perth-Darwin National Highway - Swan Valley Bypass:** south of Muchea North; involves constructing 38 km of a new section of dual-carriageway highway between Malaga and Muchea; approved by the EPA under the EP Act and by DAWE under the EPBC Act (Ministerial Statement 1036, EPBC 2013/7042).



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- TOWN
- LOCALITY
- LGA Boundary
- Highway
- Current GNH Road Centreline
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:

Anup Pty Ltd
Level 14 Exchange Tower
2 The Esplanade
Perth WA 6000
Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
www.anup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
Perth WA 6000
Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

Scale at A3
1:200,000

0 2,300 4,600
Metres

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ARUP TRADING AS ASJW
JACOBS

GNH

Main Roads Western Australia

Client Project


Great Northern Highway
Bindoon Bypass South
Environmental Review Document

Local Government Areas and Nearby Towns

Figure: 2-7

Drawing No GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001	Issue 1		
Task ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft		
Date 22/08/2019	By BG	Chkd LB	Appd TJ

MXD Location: \\jacobs.com\PER\Projects\BIF\Projects\B80732\Technical\GIS\ArMap\Environmental\CN12\ERD\Figures\GNH_CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-7.mxd



Legend

- Town or Centre
- Bindoon Bypass Development Envelope
- Bindoon Bypass Development Footprint
- Bindoon Bypass - Northern Section
- Perth Darwin National Highway - Swan Valley Section (Northlink)
- Muchea North

- GNH Road Centreline (H006)
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary



Joint Venture Partners:
 Arup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.arup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

0 2,200 4,400 Metres
 Scale at A3
1:187,010

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

Main Roads Western Australia

ARUP TRADING AS ASJW
JACOBS

Client Project
Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document

Other Developments in Relation to the Proposal

Figure: 2-8

Drawing No GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-8	Issue 1		
Task No ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft		
Date 13/03/2020	By BG	Chkd LB	Appd TJ

2.6.2 Regional Context

2.6.2.1 Climate

The Bindoon area has a warm, temperate climate with hot summers and cool, wet winters (**Figure 2-9**). The closest Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) rainfall recording station is Gingin Aero (site number 9178). That station records the average maximum temperature range as 18.3 degrees Celsius (°C) in July to 33.2 °C in January and February, and the average minimum temperature range as 6.3 °C in July to 17 °C in February (BoM 2018). The average annual rainfall recorded at Gingin Aero is 632.5 mm, with the majority falling over the winter months. July also records the highest average monthly rainfall of 125.8 mm. The highest recorded month of rainfall was 236.4 mm in June 2005 (BoM 2018).









Figure 2-9: Average Monthly Temperatures and Rainfall - Gingin Aero (BoM 2018)

2.6.2.2 Geology and Soils

The WA Department of Agriculture and Food (DAF)—now the Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD)—has undertaken soil-landscape mapping across WA (DPIRD 2018). Based on this mapping, the proposed Bindoon Bypass traverses ten regional soil-landscape systems as shown in **Table 2-3** and **Figure 2-10**.

Table 2-3: Summary of Soil-landscape Systems within the Study Area (DPIRD 2018)

Map Unit	Soil System	Description
222Cp	Capitella System	Subdued stripped lateritic plateau, undulating to gently undulating low rises with gently undulating plain including dunes; pale and yellow deep sands, sandy gravels, some duplex; from sandstones plus alluvial and Aeolian deposits.
222Da	Dandaragan System	Subdued dissected lateritic plateau, undulating low hills and rises with narrow alluvial plains. Variable deep sands and sandy gravels plus minor earths, duplexes and clays. Marri Woodlands and shrublands.
222Mb	Mogumber System	Gentle to moderate sloping sandplain, varying from pale to yellow clayey sand with gravel and laterised ridges. Low woodland and shrubland of, <i>Corymbia calophylla</i> , <i>Banksia</i> and <i>Acacia</i> spp. Some tall <i>C. calophylla</i> and <i>Eucalyptus marginata</i> .
253Bn	Bindoon System	Gentle to steep hills with gentle valleys on metamorphic gneiss and schist, and dolerite. Variable soils. Wandoo Woodland with some <i>Casuarina huegeliana</i> in rocky areas and Marri Woodland on sandy areas, minor York Gum Woodland.
253Ga	Gabbla System	Western boundary of the Darling Plateau to the east of the Dandaragan plateau. Gently to moderately slopes. Yellow, red and grey loams and clays, with gravel common and sand pockets. <i>E. wandoo</i> and <i>E. loxophleba</i> on clay.
253Ju	Julimar System	Moderately dissected areas with gravelly slopes and ridges and minor rock outcrop on the eastern side of the Darling Plateau over weathered granite and granitic gneiss. Loamy gravel, shallow duplexes and pale deep sand common. Wandoo Woodland.
253Nn	Nooning System	Brockman River valley flattish valley floors of the upper that is prone to salinity. Loams, clays and gleyed salty sandy clays and gravelly soils are present. <i>E. rudis</i> , <i>E. camaldulensis</i> , <i>Melaleuca</i> spp. and <i>Casuarina obesa</i> in the saltiest areas.
253Ug	Udumung System	Northern Darling Range near New Norcia. Partially stripped lateritic plateau with undulating low hills to gently undulating rises. Loamy gravel, minor pale sand and clay; deep weathered granitic gneiss, gneiss and schist.
253Wa	Wannamal System	Alluvial plain and fans; brown and red loamy earths, yellow/brown sandy duplexes, loamy duplexes.
253Yh	Yarawindah System	Dissected lateritic plateau with rolling to undulating low hills and undulating rises; loamy gravel, loamy earth, loamy duplex, some rock; weathered schist and some gneiss.



2.6.2.3 Wetlands and Surface Water

The Directory of Important Wetlands of Australia lists two nationally important wetlands within the Bindoon region (DoEE 2005). These are the Wannamal Lake System and the Chittering-Needonga Lake System. The Wannamal Lake System is 3.5 km north and upstream of the Development Envelope. The Chittering-Needonga Lake System is 4.5 km east of the Development Envelope and located within the same catchments as the Proposal. The Proposal crosses several creeks and the Brockman River, which flow into the Chittering-Needonga Lake System.

The Proposal is located within three surface water catchments: Brockman River, Gingin Brook and Ellen Brook. The major portion of the proposed alignment runs through the Brockman River catchment, with a very small section crossing the Gingin Brook and Ellen Brook catchment areas. Both the Brockman River and Ellen Brook catchments are located within the greater Swan River System, with the Gingin catchment forming part of the Moore River catchment.

All relevant surface water features are shown in **Figure 2-11**.

2.6.2.4 Groundwater

Four aquifers are present within the Bindoon region (DoW 2015), as shown in **Figure 2-12**:


- an unconfined surficial aquifer on the Swan Coastal Plain
- a fractured rock aquifer east of the Darling Fault
- the Mirrabooka semi-confined aquifer west of the Darling Fault
- the semi-confined Leederville-Parmelia aquifer.

The surficial aquifer comprises colluvium and lateritised soil profiles, including a combination of colluvial and lateritic clays, sands, ferricrete and gravels that are intersected by alluvial deposits associated with natural drainage lines. The aquifer is thin and often unsaturated (DoW 2015).

The fractured rock aquifer comprises fractured and weathered crystalline bedrock with small groundwater storage capacity (DoW 2015). Low groundwater yields can be obtained from the base of the weathered zone in the saprolite, generally around 25 m depth; however, water-bearing fractures in the granitic rock are widely spaced and springs can occur below the laterite (Commander 2003).

The Mirrabooka aquifer is comprised of the Lancelin Formation, which includes variably lateritised glauconitic sands and clays, and the Osborne Formation, which includes glauconitic siltstone, claystone, shale and sandstone, where the Kardinya Shale Member acts as a basal aquitard (Commander 2003; Geological Survey of Western Australia 1978). The aquifer is hydraulically connected to the surficial aquifer and is recharged in the north of the Perth Basin (Commander 2003). The Mirrabooka aquifer contributes to the summer flows in the headwaters of the Gingin Brook and maintains summer flows in the Moore River (DoW 2015).

The Leederville-Parmelia aquifer is an interconnected aquifer of the Leederville Formation and Parmelia Group, comprising sandstone and shale aquitards. It is semi-confined and recharged by the Gngangara mound approximately 15 km west of Bindoon, before becoming confined to the south of the Gingin proclaimed groundwater area (DoW 2015). The Leederville-Parmelia aquifer is also referred to as a 'shallow artesian aquifer' and is used for public water supply (Commander 2003). Similar to the Mirrabooka aquifer, the Leederville-Parmelia contributes to the baseflow of the Gingin Brook and is important for maintaining summer flow in the Moore River (DoW 2015).



Legend

- Development Envelope
- Directory of Important Wetlands in Australia
- Development Footprint
- Surfacewater Catchments
- Major Watercourse
- Cadastral Boundary
- Minor Watercourse
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road

Joint Venture Partners:
 Anup Pty Ltd
 Level 14 Exchange Tower
 2 The Esplanade
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
 www.anup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
 Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
 Perth WA 6000
 Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
 www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia
 Scale at A3
1:120,000
 0 1,400 2,800
 Metres
 Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ARUP
TRADING AS ASJV


JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia
 Client Project
Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass
 Environmental Review Document

Surface Water Features

Figure: 2-11

Drawing No GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001	Issue 1
Task No ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft
Date 22/08/2019	By BG
Chkd LB	Appd TJ



Legend

- Gingin
- Karri
- Development Envelope
- Development Footprint
- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Cadastral Boundary

Joint Venture Partners:

Anup Pty Ltd
Level 14 Exchange Tower
2 The Esplanade
Perth WA 6000
Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
www.anup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
Perth WA 6000
Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

Scale at A3
1:110,000

0 1,250 2,500
Metres

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ARUP TRADING AS ASJV
JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia

Client Project

Great Northern Highway
Bindoon Bypass
Environmental Review Document

Groundwater Aquifers

Figure: 2-12

Drawing No GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 - F2-12	Issue 1		
Task No ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft		
Date 22/08/2019	By BG	Chkd LB	Appd TJ

MXD Location: \\jacobs.com\PER\projects\BIF\Projects\B80732\Technical\GIS\ArMap\Environmental\CN12\ERD\Figures\GNH-CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-12.mxd

2.6.2.5 Vegetation and Flora

The Proposal is located within the Swan Coastal Plain and Jarrah Forrest Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia (IBRA) regions, and at a finer scale within the Dandaragan Plateau and the northern Jarrah forest subregions. The vegetation in and around the Proposal is representative of the two IBRA subregions in which it is located. The vegetation of the Dandaragan Plateau subregion is characterised by Banksia low woodland, Jarrah-Marri woodland, Marri woodland and scrub heaths on laterite pavement and on gravelly sandplains (Desmond 2001). The northern Jarrah forest subregion has vegetation comprising Jarrah-Marri forest in the west with *Eucalyptus megacarpa* and *E. patens* in the valleys, grading to *E. wandoo* and Marri woodlands in the east with *E. accedens* on breakaways (Williams and Mitchell 2001).

The EPBC MNES protected matters search tool and DBCA NatureMap were used to identify Threatened flora species which occur in the region (**Table 2-4** and **Figure 2-13**). This search returned 38 species listed as Threatened under the WA *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act), 36 of which are also protected under the EPBC Act. The NatureMap search also identified nine Priority 1 (P1), 12 Priority 2 (P2), 27 Priority 3 (P3) and 17 Priority 4 (P4) species (FVC 2018a).


Table 2-4: Conservation Significant Flora Species Known to Occur in the Bindoon Region (FVC 2018a)

Species	EPBC Listed	BC Act Listed
<i>Darwinia foetida</i>	Critically Endangered	Endangered
<i>Caladenia huegelii</i>	Endangered	Critically Endangered
<i>Darwinia carnea</i>	Endangered	Critically Endangered
<i>Drakaea elastica</i>	Endangered	Critically Endangered
<i>Eremophila scaberula</i>	Endangered	Critically Endangered
<i>Eucalyptus x balanites</i>	Endangered	Critically Endangered
<i>Gastrolobium hamulosum</i>	Endangered	Critically Endangered
<i>Grevillea althoferorum</i> subsp. <i>fragilis</i>	Endangered	Critically Endangered
<i>Grevillea curviloba</i> subsp. <i>curviloba</i>	Endangered	Critically Endangered
<i>Grevillea pythara</i>	Endangered	Critically Endangered
<i>Jacksonia pungens</i>	Endangered	Critically Endangered
<i>Thelymitra dedmaniarum</i>	Endangered	Critically Endangered
<i>Conospermum densiflorum</i> subsp. <i>unicephalatum</i>	Endangered	Endangered
<i>Darwinia acerosa</i>	Endangered	Endangered
<i>Diuris purdiei</i>	Endangered	Endangered
<i>Eucalyptus leprophloia</i>	Endangered	Endangered
<i>Grevillea curviloba</i> subsp. <i>incurva</i>	Endangered	Endangered
<i>Melaleuca sciotostyla</i>	Endangered	Endangered
<i>Thelymitra stellata</i>	Endangered	Endangered
<i>Andersonia gracilis</i>	Endangered	Vulnerable
<i>Banksia mimica</i>	Endangered	Vulnerable
<i>Chamelaucium</i> sp. Gingin (NG Marchant 6)	Endangered	Vulnerable
<i>Eucalyptus recta</i>	Endangered	Vulnerable
<i>Grevillea corrugata</i>	Endangered	Vulnerable

Species	EPBC Listed	BC Act Listed
<i>Spirogardnera rubescens</i>	Endangered	Vulnerable
<i>Grevillea thelemanniana</i>	Nominated for listing as Critically Endangered	Critically Endangered
<i>Diplolaena andrewsii</i>	Nominated for listing as Endangered	Endangered
<i>Eremophila glabra</i> subsp. <i>chlorella</i>	Nominated for listing as Endangered	Endangered
<i>Acacia anomala</i>	Vulnerable	Vulnerable
<i>Anigozanthos viridis</i> subsp. <i>terraspectans</i>	Vulnerable	Vulnerable
<i>Asterolasia nivea</i>	Vulnerable	Vulnerable
<i>Banksia serratuloides</i> subsp. <i>serratuloides</i>	Vulnerable	Vulnerable
<i>Diuris drummondii</i>	Vulnerable	Vulnerable
<i>Diuris micrantha</i>	Vulnerable	Vulnerable
<i>Eleocharis keigheryi</i>	Vulnerable	Vulnerable
<i>Ptychosema pusillum</i>	Vulnerable	Vulnerable
<i>Stylidium semaphorum</i>	Not listed	Critically Endangered
<i>Goodenia arthrotricha</i>	Not listed	Endangered

The EPBC Act listed *Banksia woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain* TEC (hereafter referred to as 'Banksia Woodlands TEC') is known to occur within the region. The following State-listed TECs and PECs are also known to occur within 10 km of the Development Envelope (**Figure 2-13**):

- herb-rich saline shrublands in clay pans (TEC)
- Banksia woodland of the Gingin area restricted to soils dominated by yellow to orange sands (P2— hereafter referred to as 'Banksia Woodlands of the Gingin Area PEC')
- *Banksia ilicifolia* woodlands, southern Swan Coastal Plain (P3)
- Swan Coastal Plain *Banksia attenuata* – *Banksia menziesii* woodlands (P3).



- Locations of significant flora (DBCAs)**
- | | |
|---|---|
| ▲ <i>Acacia anarthros</i> | ★ <i>Halgania corymbosa</i> |
| ▲ <i>Acacia drummondii</i> subsp. <i>affinis</i> | ★ <i>Hibbertia glomerata</i> subsp. <i>ginginensis</i> |
| ▲ <i>Acacia oncinophylla</i> subsp. <i>oncinophylla</i> | ★ <i>Hibbertia miniata</i> |
| ▲ <i>Acacia pulchella</i> var. <i>reflexa</i> | ★ <i>Persoonia sulcata</i> |
| ★ <i>Adenanthos cygnorum</i> subsp. <i>chamaephyton</i> | ★ <i>Senecio gilbertii</i> |
| ★ <i>Calothamnus pachystachyus</i> | ★ <i>Spirogardnera rubescens</i> |
| ★ <i>Cyanicula ixioides</i> subsp. <i>candida</i> | ■ <i>Stylidium glabrifolium</i> |
| ★ <i>Darwinia acerosa</i> | ■ <i>Stylidium sacculatum</i> |
| ★ <i>Eleocharis keigheryi</i> | ■ <i>Stylidium semaphorum</i> |
| ▲ <i>Grevillea corrugata</i> | ★ <i>Synaphea grandis</i> |
| ▲ <i>Grevillea drummondii</i> | ★ <i>Verticordia serrata</i> var. <i>Udumung</i> (D. Hunter & B. Yarran 941006) |
| ▲ <i>Grevillea florida</i> | |

Legend

- | | |
|---|--------------------|
| Development Envelope | Highway |
| Development Footprint | Major Road |
| Threatened Ecological Communities (DBCAs) | Minor Road |
| | Cadastral Boundary |

Joint Venture Partners:

Arup Pty Ltd
Level 14 Exchange Tower
2 The Esplanade
Perth WA 6000
Tel +61 8 9327 8300 Fax +61 8 9481 1334
www.arup.com

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Ltd
Durack Centre, 263 Adelaide Terrace,
Perth WA 6000
Tel +61 8 9469 4400 Fax +61 8 9469 4488
www.jacobs.com

© Main Roads Western Australia

Scale at A3
1:110,000

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

mainroads
WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ARUP TRADING AS ASJV
JACOBS

Main Roads Western Australia

Client Project
Great Northern Highway Bindoon Bypass Environmental Review Document

Regional Conservation Significant Vegetation and Flora
Figure: 2-13

Drawing No GNH-CN12-EA-PER-00001 F2-13	Issue 1		
Task No ERD	Drawing Status / Other Draft		
Date 4/06/2019	By BG	Chkd LB	Appd TJ

MXD Location: \\auy001-app023\ArcGIS\Server\WA_P880732_GNH\ERD\Figures\GNH-CN12-EA-PER-0001-F2-13.mxd

2.6.2.6 Fauna

Desktop studies found 306 vertebrate fauna species as potentially occurring in the region, including freshwater fish, frogs, reptiles, birds and mammals. Of the 306 species identified in the desktop study, 14 are considered to be locally extinct, while 45 are not expected to occur due to a lack of suitable habitat or the Development Envelope occurring outside the accepted species range (Bamford Consulting Ecologists—BCE 2017). Field surveys confirmed the presence of 66 species, including one freshwater fish, five frogs, three reptiles, 52 birds and five mammals (BCE 2017).

The fauna assemblage expected in the survey area is described as being typical of the region. The fauna assemblage is expected to vary across the survey areas and contain a lot of species at the extremes of their distribution, with some parts of the survey area being more species-rich than others.

Of the 306 vertebrate fauna expected to occur within the Bindoon area, 20 are listed under the BC Act or EPBC Act, or both (**Table 2-5**). An additional seven species of vertebrate fauna are listed on DBCA’s Priority fauna list. Three conservation significant invertebrate fauna species may also occur within the Development Envelope and are included in **Table 2-5**.

Table 2-5: Species Listed Under the BC Act and EPBC Act

Species	EPBC Act Status	BC Act/DBCA Priority List Status	Expected Occurrence	Recorded
<i>Pseudemydura umbrina</i> (Western Swamp Tortoise)	Critically Endangered	Critically Endangered	Unlikely Resident	
<i>Calidris ferruginea</i> (Curlew Sandpiper)	Critically Endangered and Migratory	Vulnerable and Migratory	Migrant	
<i>Botaurus poiciloptilus</i> (Australasian Bittern)	Endangered	Endangered	Irregular Visitor	
<i>Rostratula australis</i> (Australian Painted Snipe)	Endangered	Endangered	Vagrant	
<i>Calyptorhynchus latirostris</i> (Carnaby’s Black-Cockatoo)	Endangered	Endangered	Resident	+
<i>Calyptorhynchus baudinii</i> (Baudin’s Black-Cockatoo)	Vulnerable	Endangered	Irregular Visitor	
<i>Calyptorhynchus banksia naso</i> (Forest Red-tailed Black-Cockatoo)	Vulnerable	Vulnerable	Resident	+
<i>Dasyurus geoffroii</i> (Chuditch)	Vulnerable	Vulnerable	Resident	
<i>Idiosoma nigrum</i> (Shield-backed Trapdoor Spider)	Vulnerable	Vulnerable	Resident	
<i>Apus pacificus</i> (Fork-tailed Swift)	Migratory	Migratory	Migrant	
<i>Plegadis falcinellus</i> (Glossy Ibis)	Migratory	Migratory	Migrant	
<i>Actitis Hypoleucos</i> (Common Sandpiper)	Migratory	Migratory	Migrant	

Species	EPBC Act Status	BC Act/DBCA Priority List Status	Expected Occurrence	Recorded
<i>Tringa nebularia</i> (Common Greenshank)	Migratory	Migratory	Migrant	
<i>Tringa glareola</i> (Wood Sandpiper)	Migratory	Migratory	Migrant	
<i>Calidris ruficollis</i> (Red-neck Stint)	Migratory	Migratory	Migrant	
<i>Galaxiella munda</i> (Western Mud Minnow)	Not listed	Vulnerable	Resident	
<i>Phascogale tapoatafa wambenger</i> (Brush-railed Phascogale, Wambenger)	Not listed	Vulnerable	Resident	
<i>Westralunio carteri</i> (Carter's Freshwater Mussel)	Not listed	Vulnerable	Resident	
<i>Falco peregrinus</i> (Peregrine Falcon)	Not listed	Other specially protected fauna	Resident	
<i>Ctenotus delli</i>	Not listed	P4	Resident	
<i>Neelaps calonotos</i> (Black-striped Snake)	Not listed	P3	Resident	
<i>Oxyura australis</i> (Blue-billed Duck)	Not listed	P4	Irregular Visitor	
<i>Ninox connivens connivens</i> (Barking Owl (southern))	Not listed	P2	Resident	
<i>Isoodon obesulus fusciventer</i> (Quenda, Southern Brown Bandicoot)	Not listed	P4	Resident	
<i>Notamacropus irma</i> (Brush Wallaby)	Not listed	P4	Resident	+
<i>Hydromys chrysogaster</i> (Water-rat)	Not listed	P4	Resident	+
<i>Throscodectes xederoides</i> (Mogumber Bush Cricket)	Not listed	P3	Resident	

Fauna of the Swan Coastal Plain IBRA have been threatened by broad-scale vegetation clearing, increased fragmentation, grazing pressure, feral herbivores, feral predators, exotic weeds, changed fire regimes, pathogens, changed hydrology and recreational use of areas by people (Desmond 2001). A 2002 biodiversity audit of WA found that these threats have resulted in approximately 25% of the original mammal fauna becoming extinct within the Swan Coastal Plain IBRA (Desmond 2001).

Fauna in the Jarrah Forest IBRA have similar threats to the Swan Coastal Plain IBRA, with the addition of fragmentation and increased accessibility due to roads and illegal harvesting of species (Williams & Mitchell 2001). These threats have resulted in more than 10% of the original mammal fauna becoming regionally extinct within the Jarrah Forest IBRA (Williams & Mitchell 2001).

2.6.2.7 Native Title

The Bindoon Bypass is located within Yued Country—specifically, the Yued WC1997/071 Native Title Claim (NTC). This NTC is bounded by Leeman in the north, Lake Nedo and Dalwallinu in the north east, Wongan Hills in the southeast and Coondle, Chittering and Two Rocks in the south and west. The Yued people form the northernmost group of the South West Aboriginal groups, within a distinct cultural bloc defined by the distribution of the Nyungar languages.

3. Stakeholder Engagement

3.1 Key Stakeholders

Extended community consultation for the Bindoon Bypass has been undertaken since 2016. This consultation was undertaken to provide input into the Bindoon Bypass corridor selection process, and during the development of the preferred corridor.

The ongoing consultation has included a large number of directly affected landowners to mitigate potential impacts from the bypass where possible. Additionally, a number of statutory agencies directly or indirectly affected by the project were consulted with to align the Bindoon Bypass with best practice in road design, alongside environmental and heritage management processes.

Consultation supporting this submission occurred with various Government agencies and other key stakeholders, as described in **Table 3-1**. Non-government stakeholders were identified for ongoing engagement based on historic knowledge from previous highway upgrades near to the Proposal (e.g. GNH Muchea North), from a history of corridor consultation over the four years of planning preceding this ERD, and from recommendations made by other stakeholders.

3.2 Stakeholder Engagement Process

3.2.1 Main Engagement Activities

Various engagement activities have ensured ongoing liaison with stakeholders. The main activities included:

- face-to-face meetings
- one-on-one phone conversations
- direct mail of updates to landowners
- project newsletters
- government briefing notes and meetings
- project update briefings and presentations
- newspaper articles and advertising
- project representation/stall at the Bindoon Show
- project email address
- project webpage
- a 1-800 information line
- a dedicated engagement team for response to all queries
- updates on local government community webpages and social media.

3.2.2 Ongoing/Future Consultation

Ongoing consultation is planned with relevant stakeholders. This is likely to include:

- individual consultation with landowners during the land acquisition process
- briefing notes
- project newsletters
- website updates
- personalised calls and/or emails.

3.3 Stakeholder Consultation

Stakeholder consultations were undertaken from April to July 2018 as an integral part of the preparation of the ERD. Key aims, issues raised and team responses from stakeholder consultations are provided in **Table 3-1**.

Table 3-1 Summary of key stakeholder consultation undertaken for the Proposal during preparation of the ERD

Stakeholder	Form of Consultation	Dates of Consultation	Issues/Topics Raised	Proponent Response/Outcome
Minister for Transport; Planning; Lands	Ministerial briefings	June 2015 to October 2015 December 2016 to August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ongoing update on project status, route refinement, and potential mitigation of landowner impacts. Suitability of proposed alignment. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reviewed and amended route alignment where practicable following stakeholder feedback.
Elected State and Federal Members	Ministerial briefings Face-to-face project briefings upon request	October 2015 to August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project status. Landowner and local business impacts. Status of funding and land acquisition. Considerations of alternative alignments, and Potential mitigation of landowner impacts. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reviewed and amended route alignment where practicable following stakeholder feedback.
Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) Avon-Midland Country Zone	Face-to-face briefings	April 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ongoing update on project status, route refinement, and potential mitigation of landowner impacts. Key feedback focused on condition of existing highway between Muchea and Bindoon, risk of accidents and future road maintenance. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> IPT liaising with Regional Main Roads to prioritise road maintenance in affected areas. Project team to investigate potential implications of transfer of maintenance responsibilities.
Shire of Chittering	Council briefings CEO briefing Email correspondence Face-to-face briefings	March 2015 to April 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ongoing update on project status, route refinement, land acquisition and corridor endorsement and potential mitigation of landowner impacts. Key feedback focused on implications for future road reclassifications. Process and requirements for flora and fauna assessments for ERD. Assessment of potential realignments at Wannamal wetlands. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project team to investigate potential implications of transfer of maintenance responsibilities. Scope of environmental surveys and techniques provided to interested parties. No environmental concerns of significance raised by stakeholder.

Stakeholder	Form of Consultation	Dates of Consultation	Issues/Topics Raised	Proponent Response/Outcome
Shire of Gingin	Council briefings	August 2017 to May 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ongoing updates on project status, route refinement, and potential mitigation of landowner impacts. Technical aspects of road design including pavement, audible tactile devices, wide centre line treatment and signage. Proposed intersection and rail crossings treatments. Potential funding for upgrades of connecting roads. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental concerns of significance raised by stakeholder.
DPLH WAPC	Stakeholder group meetings Email correspondence	November 2014 July 2016 January 2017 to August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ongoing update on project status, route refinement, land acquisition and corridor endorsement and potential mitigation of landowner impacts. Enquiries regarding approved structure plans and subdivisions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Followed up on structure plan enquiries through consultations with concerned landowner. No environmental concerns raised by stakeholder.
DPIRD	Email correspondence Face-to-face meeting	March 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Skeleton Weed (<i>Chondrilla juncea</i>) – a Declared Pest within WA and listed on the Western Australian Organism List (WAOL) as requiring eradication from infested properties (DPIRD 2018). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Addressed in hygiene processes, and the route and potential interfaces with known Skeleton Weed infestation locations to be reviewed. Chapter 4.2.6 covers weed management for the project.
DBCA	Face-to-face meeting Email correspondence	August 2017 to February 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outline of proposal, alignment options assessment, status of environmental studies and overview of possible environmental impact. The DBCA provided guidance on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> recommended consultation with agencies such as the DWER and the Chittering Landcare Group. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ERD considers guidance provided by the DBCA. Actions to address concerns are ongoing, namely: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> dieback survey undertaken and report to be issued to DBCA. ongoing consultation with DWER.

Stakeholder	Form of Consultation	Dates of Consultation	Issues/Topics Raised	Proponent Response/Outcome
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - conservation category (CC) listing of the wetland at the Brockman River crossing vs actual condition of the vegetation/wetland. - requirements for a proactive vegetation/ landscaping strategy within the road reserve, primarily to establish Black Cockatoo habitat. - requirement for dieback management -recommended proactive drainage design as part of dieback management. - concerns due to weeds and weed hygiene especially with narrow leaf cotton bush (<i>Gomphocarpus fruticosus</i>) being prevalent in the area. - recommended maintenance of surface hydrological flows for salinity management. - requirement for management funding with land acquisition to enable DBCA to set up the reserve and manage it properly. - proactive design to ensure fauna connectivity. - the timing of flora surveys over remnant vegetation areas. - fauna surveys required to determine impacts to fauna species, particularly those protected under Commonwealth legislation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - ongoing consultation with Chittering Landcare Group. - planned “ongoing” survey for Priority 1, Priority 2 and threatened plant species. - design guidance considered in ERD.

Stakeholder	Form of Consultation	Dates of Consultation	Issues/Topics Raised	Proponent Response/Outcome
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Departmental records showing locations of Declared Rare Flora (DRF) and priority species which may be impacted. 	
Local landowners	Direct mail to directly affected landowners Stall at Bindoon Show Face-to-face meetings Community forum Email correspondence Phone conversations	April 2014 to August 2018	Ongoing consultation and update on alignment design, status of environmental studies and land acquisition. Identified areas of particular interest/concern are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • source of construction water potentially impacting local use; • potential loss of water supply where bores, dams, and water systems are impacted; • risk of dieback invasion due to construction work; • risk of contamination (fuel spills, etc.) from vehicle accidents on the new road; • potential impact to local groundwater supplies due to compaction and interception of aquifers; • noise and water pollution caused by traffic on proposed bypass impacting surrounding wetlands; • location of intersections creating headlight glare affecting houses; • accessibility to properties due to new bypass creating a security risk; • loss of farming and residential structures; 	Actions to address concerns are ongoing, with namely: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flora and Fauna studies completed and included as part of the EPA made available to landowners upon request • Ecological surveys undertaken. • Noise and Visual Impact assessment. • Design considerations include fencing for fauna, preservation of water sources, stock underpass, modified intersection for headlight glare, driveway locations and noise walls where applicable. • Rest areas, and overtaking opportunities built into the design of the new highway. • Mapping of vegetation types, identification of significant species and identification of potential breeding locations for cockatoos and other endangered species. • Review of potential cockatoo breeding sites for evidence of use, as well as foraging areas.

Stakeholder	Form of Consultation	Dates of Consultation	Issues/Topics Raised	Proponent Response/Outcome
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • inability to access severed land with heavy vehicle impacting commercial viability of land; • rare flora and cockatoo breeding trees and foraging habitat destruction; • vegetation clearing and identification of wetland areas on property; • local kangaroo population; • air pollution caused by vehicles impacting the fauna; • visual impact to properties; • security and screening properties from view; • impact of bypass on cattle and sheep requiring crossing the highway; • impacts to surface water flow where creeks are located; • slowing down of the trucks by caravans; • driveway locations; and • survival of native fauna such as Western Wallabies, Echidnas, Carnaby's Black Cockatoo and their movement across the highway. 	
Public Transport Authority (PTA)	Meeting Email correspondence	November 2017 to January 2018	<p>The PTA provided guidance on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • individual land owner rail crossings, and public road crossings; and • grade separation and drainage requirements. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Australian Level Crossing Assessment Model (ALCAM) assessment undertaken with Main Roads Level Crossing Branch to help inform design in response to PTA recommendation. • No environmental concerns raised by stakeholder.

Stakeholder	Form of Consultation	Dates of Consultation	Issues/Topics Raised	Proponent Response/Outcome
Local businesses Bullsbrook and Chittering Chamber of Commerce Chittering Tourist Association Bindoon Deviation Action Group Selected major businesses	Meeting	January 2015 to May 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update on planning and progress for the Bindoon Bypass, including ERD, timing, funding, etc. Potential impacts to local businesses due to reduced traffic numbers through Bindoon. Concerns about local road conditions such as potholes. Identified potential habitat offset area for Carnaby's Black Cockatoo. Water supply access to new highway. Future road network rating for heavy vehicles. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental concerns raised by stakeholders.
Emergency Services	Committee meeting One-on-one meeting	May 2016 to May 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consideration for connectivity for emergency services and emergency response egress throughout Shire of Chittering. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feedback considered in intersection review. No environmental concerns raised by stakeholder.
Heavy Vehicles Liaison Group	Briefings	April 2014 to May 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update on alignment design, status of environmental studies and land acquisition. Comments on general alignment, passing lanes, inspection bays and rest area locations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental concerns raised by stakeholder.
Western Power	One-on-one meetings	April 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recommendation on service crossing location of the proposed highway to remain within the design corridor/footprint of the environmental referral document. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental concerns raised by stakeholder.
South West Aboriginal Land	Briefing	November 2017 to April 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact of the works on the streams and creeks. Details of endorsed Bypass Route. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Further explanation provided about the construction methodology for creek culvert crossings.

Stakeholder	Form of Consultation	Dates of Consultation	Issues/Topics Raised	Proponent Response/Outcome
and Sea Council (SWALSC) Yued Working Group	Participation in ethnographic surveys Project updates		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consultation and employment opportunities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consideration of Yued employment options from advice received from the Working Group.
Wildflower Society of WA	Face-to-face meeting	June 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supported the alignment going through paddock and maximising this. Would like to see clearing of native vegetation reduced and consideration of design elements such as barriers and reduced median width to minimise vegetation clearing. Design needs to include both fauna underpasses and overpasses. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Design has been developed to minimise environmental impacts but is currently concept level. Further work to minimised clearing can be done at the detailed design stage. The trade-off between the environmental impacts and safety considerations taken into consideration by the project. Fauna underpasses will be included to maintain connectivity between areas of native vegetation. Overpasses will be considered depending upon the fauna species present. The use of appropriately-sized culverts as fauna underpasses to be investigated in detailed design.
Chittering Landcare	Consultation briefing	May 2015 to March 2018	<p>Wannamal wetland considerations; Identified areas of particular interest/concern as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wetland at Teatree Road: groundwater abstraction on neighbouring property has previously caused this wetland to dry. This wetland flows to Spoonbill Lake (man-made) which is a well-used public recreation area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detailed design to consider maintenance of flows into the Teatree Road wetland and flooding issues at Kangaroo Gully Road.

Stakeholder	Form of Consultation	Dates of Consultation	Issues/Topics Raised	Proponent Response/Outcome
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Road design near Kangaroo Gully Road / Bindoon-Moora Road: this area currently floods regularly after heavy rains. Udumung Nature Reserve: there appears to be a spreading soil degradation issue in the northwest of the reserve with salinity increasing and pH decreasing. 	
DWER	Face-to-face meeting	May 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Water use for construction needs to be addressed in the ERD in relation to impacts to dependent vegetation and drawdown. Lack of water may be limiting. Relevant DWER guidance regarding buffer applied to watercourses. Need to provide conceptual bridge design and design standards for drainage/flooding. Drainage design and stormwater management was a key area of interest. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Issues raised will be addressed in the ERD and during the detailed design phase.
DAWE	Teleconference	May 2015 February 2016 May 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Briefing of the project provided to DAWE. Concerns raised about impact of project on black cockatoo and threatened ecological communities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Issues raised will be addressed in the ERD